GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT

FOR

PROPOSED RELOCATABLE CLASSROOM BUILDINGS

HUENEME HIGH SCHOOL

500 W. BARD STREET, OXNARD

VENTURA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

PROJECT NO.: 303277-002

DECEMBER 12, 2019

PREPARED FOR

OXNARD UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

ATTENTION: POUL HANSON

BY

EARTH SYSTEMS PACIFIC

1731-A WALTER STREET

VENTURA, CALIFORNIA 93003

Earth Systems
1731 Walter Street, Suite A | Ventura, CA 93003 | Ph: 805.642.6727 | www.earthsystems.com

December 12, 2019

Project No.: 303277-002
Report No.: 19-12-50

Oxnard Union High School District
Attention: Poul Hanson
309 South K Street
Oxnard, CA 93030

Project: Proposed Relocatable Classroom Buildings
Hueneme High School
500 W. Bard Street
Oxnard, Ventura County, California

Subject: Engineering Geology and Geotechnical Engineering Report

As authorized, Earth Systems Pacific (Earth Systems) has performed an engineering geology and geotechnical engineering study for proposed relocatable classroom buildings on the Hueneme High School campus located at 500 W. Bard Street, Oxnard of Ventura County, California. The accompanying Engineering Geology and Geotechnical Engineering Report presents the results of our subsurface exploration and laboratory testing programs, and our conclusions and recommendations pertaining to geotechnical aspects of project design. This report completes Phase 1 of the scope of services described within our Proposal No. VEN-19-07-011 dated July 17, 2019 (Revised August 12, 2019), and authorized by Requisition No. R20-01539 dated August 30, 2019.

We have appreciated the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. Please call if you have any questions, or if we can be of further service.

Respectfully submitted,

EARTH SYSTEMS PACIFIC

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
ANTHONY P. MAZZEI
GE 2823
Exp. 6-30-21
GEOTECHNICAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

12/12/19

Anthony P. Mazzei
Geotechnical Engineer

PROFESSIONAL GEOLOGIST
PATRICK V. BOALES
No. 1346
CERTIFIED ENGINEERING GEOLOGIST
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Patrick V. Boales 12-12-19
Engineering Geologist

Copies: 2 - Oxnard Union High School District (1 via US mail, 1 via email)
1 - Irvine Carrillo, Flewelling-Moody (via email)
1 - Project File

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

INTRODUCTION

This report presents results of an Engineering Geology and Geotechnical Engineering study performed for seven (7) proposed modular classrooms that will be located in the southeast corner of the Hueneme High School campus located at 500 West Bard Road in the Oxnard, California (see Vicinity Map in Appendix A). It is our understanding that one of the proposed structures will be a 36-foot by 40-foot modular building, and the remaining six modular buildings will be 24-foot by 40-foot in plan dimensions. The buildings will be prefabricated structures with wood foundations sitting on asphalt pavement.

The sites of the proposed modular classroom buildings are currently covered with asphaltic concrete pavement. Because the sites are essentially level, grading is expected to be limited to preparing near-surface soils to support the new structures. No cut or fill slopes or retaining walls are expected to be incorporated into the grading concept.

PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF WORK

The purpose of the geotechnical study that led to this report was to analyze the geology and soil conditions of the site with respect to the proposed improvements. These conditions include potential geohazards, surface and subsurface soil types, expansion potential, settlement potential, bearing capacity, and the presence or absence of subsurface water. The scope of work included:

1. Reconnaissance of the site.
2. Reviewing geotechnical data presented in previous campus-specific geotechnical reports generated by Earth Systems in 2011 and 2019.
3. Drilling, sampling, and logging two (2) hollow-stem-auger borings (B-1 and B-2) to study soil and groundwater conditions.
4. Laboratory testing soil samples obtained from the subsurface exploration to determine their physical and engineering properties.
5. Consulting with owner representatives and design professionals.
6. Analyzing the geotechnical data obtained.
7. Preparing this report.

Contained in this report are:

1. Descriptions and results of field and laboratory tests that were performed for this study for the proposed relocatable classroom buildings.
2. Discussions pertaining to the local geologic, soil, and groundwater conditions.
3. Conclusions pertaining to geohazards that could affect the site.
4. Conclusions and recommendations pertaining to site grading and structural design.

GEOLOGY

The site lies within the Oxnard Plain, which in turn lies within the western Transverse Ranges geomorphic province. The Oxnard Plain and the Transverse Ranges are characterized by ongoing tectonic activity. In the vicinity of the subject site, Tertiary and Quaternary sediments have been folded and faulted along predominant east-west structural trends.

Although there are several faults located within the region, the nearest known surficial trace of a fault of significant activity, the Simi-Santa Rosa Fault, is located approximately 6.1 miles northeast of the subject site. The project area is not located within any of the "Fault Rupture Hazard Zones" that have been specified by the State of California (CDMG. 1972, Revised 1999).

The site is underlain by alluvial deltaic sediments consisting of loose to medium dense silty sands, fine to medium sands, and clayey sands.

The site is within one of the Liquefaction Hazard Zones designated by the California Geological Survey (CGS, 2002).

No landslides were observed to be located on or trending into the subject property during the field study, or during reviews of the referenced geologic literature.

SEISMICITY AND SEISMIC DESIGN

Although the site is not within a State-designated "fault rupture hazard zone", it is located in an active seismic region where large numbers of earthquakes are recorded each year. Historically, major earthquakes felt in the vicinity of the subject site have originated from faults outside the area. These include the December 21, 1812 "Santa Barbara Region" earthquake, that was presumably centered in the Santa Barbara Channel, the 1857 Fort Tejon earthquake, the 1872 Owens Valley earthquake, and the 1952 Arvin-Tehachapi earthquake.

Southern Ventura County was mapped by the California Division of Mines and Geology in 1975 to delineate areas of varying predicted seismic response. The deltaic (alluvial) deposits that underlie the campus are mapped as having a probable maximum intensity of earthquake response of approximately IX on the Modified Mercalli Scale. Historically, the highest observed intensity of ground response has been VII in the Oxnard area (C.D.M.G., 1975).

For school projects, the 2016 California Building Code (CBC) specifies that peak ground acceleration for design purposes can be determined from a site-specific study taking into account soil amplification effects. The United States Geological Survey (USGS, 2009) has undertaken a probabilistic earthquake analyses that covers the continental United States. A reasonable site-specific spectral response curve may be developed from USGS Unified Hazard Tool web page, which adjusts for site-specific ground factors. The interactive webpage appears to be a precise calculation based on site coordinates. The program incorporates the 2008 USGS/CGS working group consensus methodologies, and the output for base ground motion is a smooth curve based on seven spectral ordinates ranging from 0 to 2 seconds. The USGS interactive deaggregation spectral values are generally within about 5% of the precise site-specific values obtained from other programs such as OpenSHA or EZ-FRISK for the same model and attenuation relationships.

The NGA (Next Generation Attenuation) relationships for spectral response have been used in the analyses. A principal advantage in the NGA relationships is that the estimated site-specific soil velocity (Vs30) is used directly for site specific analysis rather than the NEHRP site corrections. The analysis also includes amplification factors (Idriss, 1993) to model the maximum rotated component of the ground motion.

Seismic design values are referenced to the Maximum Considered Earthquake (MCE) and, by definition, the MCE has a 2% probability of occurrence in a 50-year period. This equates to a return rate of 2,475 years. Spectral acceleration parameters that are applicable to seismic design are presented in Appendix C. It should be noted that the school project carries a seismic importance factor I of 1.25 and that factor has been incorporated into the 2013 and 2016 California Building Code response spectrums.

It is assumed that the 2016 CBC and ASCE 7-10 guidelines will apply for the seismic design parameters. The 2016 CBC includes several seismic design parameters that are influenced by the geographic site location with respect to active and potentially active faults, and with respect to subsurface soil or rock conditions. The seismic design parameters presented herein were determined by the U.S. Seismic Design Maps "risk-targeted" calculator on the USGS website for the jobsite coordinates (34.1595˚ North Latitude and -119.1809° West Longitude). The calculator adjusts for Soil Site Class D, and for Occupancy (Risk) Category III (for public school structures). (A listing of the calculated 2016 CBC and ASCE 7-10 Seismic Parameters is presented below and in Appendix C.)

Summary of Seismic Parameters – 2016 CBC

Site Class (Table 20.3-1 of ASCE 7-10 with 2016 update)	D
Occupancy (Risk) Category	III
Seismic Design Category	E
Maximum Considered Earthquake (MCE) Ground Motion	
Spectral Response Acceleration, Short Period – S_s	2.264 g
Spectral Response Acceleration at 1 sec. – S_1	0.804 g
Site Coefficient – F_a	1.00
Site Coefficient – F_v	1.50
Site-Modified Spectral Response Acceleration, Short Period – S_{MS}	2.264 g
Site-Modified Spectral Response Acceleration at 1 sec. – S_{M1}	1.205 g
Design Earthquake Ground Motion	
Short Period Spectral Response – S_{DS}	1.509 g
One Second Spectral Response – S_{D1}	0.804 g
Site Modified Peak Ground Acceleration - PGA_M	0.849 g
Note: Values Appropriate for a 2% Probability of Exceedance in 50 Years	

Because the Seismic Design Category is "E" and S1 is greater than 0.75 g, a site-specific seismic analysis must be performed in addition to the "general procedure". For the Site-Specific Analysis, the Short Period Spectral Response (S_{DS}) was found to be 1.207 g, and the 1 Second Spectral Response (S_{D1}) was found to be 0.963 g. Both the "site specific" and "general procedure yielded peak ground accelerations of 0.849 g.

The Fault Parameters table in Appendix C lists the significant “active” and “potentially active” faults within a radius of about 37 miles from the subject site. The distance between the site and the nearest portion of each fault is shown, as well as the respective estimated maximum earthquake magnitudes, and the deterministic mean site peak ground accelerations.

SOIL CONDITIONS

Based on the exploratory borings drilled for this study, the near-surface soils in the area of the proposed relocatable classroom buildings consist of silty sands and sandy silts. A 5-foot thick layer of silty clay was encountered in Boring B-1 at a depth of approximately 22 feet below the existing ground surface. The clay layer was underlain by interbedded, discontinuous strata of silty sands, sandy clays and sandy to clayey silts to the maximum depth explored of 51.5 feet below the existing ground surface.

Testing indicates that anticipated bearing soils lie in the "low" expansion range of Table 1809.7 because the expansion index was found to be 38. [A locally adopted version of this classification of soil expansion is included in Appendix B of this report.] It appears that soils can be cut by normal grading and/or drilling equipment.

Groundwater was encountered at a depth of approximately 7 feet in Boring B-1 drilled for this study. Mapping of historically high groundwater levels by the California Geological Survey (CGS, 2002a) indicates that groundwater has been 10 feet below the ground surface near the subject site.

Samples of near-surface soils were tested for pH, resistivity, soluble sulfates, and soluble chlorides. The test results provided in Appendix B should be distributed to the design team for their interpretations pertaining to the corrosivity or reactivity of various construction materials (such as concrete and piping) with the soils. It should be noted that sulfate contents (1,900 mg/Kg) are in the “S1” (“negligible”) exposure class of Table 19.3.1.1 of ACI 318-14; therefore, it appears that special concrete designs will be necessary for the measured sulfate contents. In accordance with Table 19.3.2.1 of ACI 318-14, the concrete should have Type II Portland cement, a maximum water-cement ratio of 0.50, and a 28-day compressive strength of 4,000 psi.

Based on criteria established by the County of Los Angeles (2013), measurements of resistivity of near-surface soils (810 ohms-cm) indicate that they are “severely corrosive” to ferrous metal (i.e. cast iron, etc.) pipes.

ANALYSIS OF LIQUEFACTION POTENTIAL

As mentioned previously, the campus is located within one of the Liquefaction Hazard Zones designated by CGS (2002b).

Earthquake-induced vibrations can be the cause of several significant phenomena, including liquefaction in fine sands and silty sands. Liquefaction results in a loss of strength and can cause structures to settle or even overturn if it occurs in the bearing zone. Liquefaction is typically limited to the upper 50 feet of soils underlying a site.

Fine sands and silty sands that are poorly graded and lie below the groundwater table are the soils most susceptible to liquefaction. Soils that have I_c values greater than 2.6, soils with plasticity indices greater than 7, sufficiently dense soils, and/or soils located above the groundwater table are not generally susceptible to liquefaction.

An examination of the conditions existing at the site, in relation to the criteria listed above, indicates the following:

1. Groundwater was encountered at a depth of approximately 7 feet in Boring B-1 drilled for this study. Mapping of historically high groundwater levels by the California Geological Survey (CGS, 2002a) indicates that groundwater has been 10 feet below the ground surface near the subject site. For the liquefaction analyses, a groundwater depth of 7 feet below the ground surface was used.
2. The soil profile consists of interbedded stratum of non-plastic sands, silts and clays to the maximum depth explored.
3. Standard penetration tests conducted in the borings indicate that soils within the tested depth are in a variably dense state.

Based on the above, cyclic mobility analyses were undertaken to analyze the liquefaction and seismic-induced settlement potentials of the various soil layers. The liquefaction analyses were performed in general accordance with the methods proposed by NCEER (1997). In the analyses, the design earthquake was considered to be a 7.4 moment magnitude event, and a site adjusted peak ground acceleration of 0.849 g was assumed, as per the discussion in the "Seismicity and Seismic Design" section of this report. Soil stratigraphic and engineering data interpreted from Boring B-1 were utilized. Groundwater was assumed to be at a depth of 7 feet below the ground surface.

The analysis with the groundwater level at 7 feet indicated that layers totaling about 11 feet in thickness had factors of safety that were less than 1.3, with the shallowest layer between the depths of 7 and 10 feet (see Appendix D for calculations) below the ground surface. Those zones with factors of safety less than 1.3 are considered potentially liquefiable (C.G.S., 2008, and SCEC, 1999).

The volumetric strain for the potentially liquefiable zones was estimated using a chart derived by Tokimatsu and Seed (1987) after reducing the N_160 values by the calculated "FC Delta" value, then making adjustments for fines content as per Seed (1987) and SCEC (1999). Using this methodology, the volumetric strain was found to be approximately 2.1 inches.

According to a chart derived by Ishihara (National Academy Press, 1985), "ground" damage would be expected related to the potentially liquefiable zones identified in the borings because of the 7-foot thickness of non-liquefiable soils above the 3-foot thick shallowest potentially liquefiable layer. The construction of a geogrid-reinforced mat beneath the proposed relocatable classroom buildings will mitigate the potential for ground damage at the site. (Examples of ground damage are sand boils and ground cracks.)

Although the construction of a geogrid-reinforced mat beneath the proposed relocatable classroom buildings will mitigate the potential for ground damage, there is a potential for differential areal settlement suggested by the findings. As mentioned previously, the combined liquefaction and seismic-induced settlements could potentially range up to about 2.0 inches depending on groundwater depth. According to SCEC (1999), up to about half of the total settlement could be realized as differential settlement. As a result, differential settlement could range up to about 1.1 inch at the ground surface.

"Free face" lateral spreading does not appear to pose a potential hazard because there are no nearby sloped areas or canyons (Bartlett and Youd, 1995). "Ground slope" lateral spreading, sometimes referred to as "ground oscillation", can occur when adjusted blow counts ($N_{1(60)}$) measured within potentially liquefiable zones are less than 15, which is true for a 2-foot thick potentially liquefiable zone between the depths of 35 and 37 feet below the ground surface. The cumulative thickness of this layer is about 0.9 meter. The potential ground oscillation was analyzed in accordance with procedures developed by Youd, Hansen and Bartlett (2002). In the analyses, it was assumed that the surface slope was 0.29%, which is equivalent to about 5 feet of fall in 1,700 feet, as shown on the Oxnard Quadrangle near the subject site. Fine contents were assumed to be 20% based on the soil type within this zone. The cumulative displacement was calculated to be about 0.4 feet, if all of the potentially liquefiable zones were to liquefy. (Calculations are included within Appendix E of this report.)

Based on the above, it is the opinion of this firm that a potential for liquefaction and lateral spreading exists at the proposed bathroom site.

GEOTECHNICAL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

As noted above, there is a potential for liquefaction to produce differential settlements in the proposed building areas. Without mitigation, the currently proposed wood foundations may not be structurally capable of withstanding anticipated differential settlements of approximately 2.1 inches. The following remedial recommendations are intended to reduce potential differential settlement to a level where the proposed modular classroom buildings could be supported by wood foundations on asphalt pavement.

To mitigate the anticipated liquefaction-related effects, Earth Systems recommends that a geogrid reinforced mat be constructed beneath the relocatable buildings. The intent of the geogrid reinforced mat is to stiffen underlying soils so that they act as a block that would result in more uniform settlement beneath the structures.

To create the geogrid reinforced mats, native soils beneath the proposed buildings should be excavated a minimum of 5 feet below existing grade. The limits of overexcavation should be extended laterally to a distance of at least 5 feet beyond the outside edges of the foundation element wherever no existing structures are located within 10 feet of the outside edge of the overexcavation zone. If existing structures are within 10 feet of the lateral overexcavation limit, the overexcavation width may be reduced to 3 feet outside the building perimeter in that direction only. The bases of the overexcavation zones should be relatively level.

The bottoms of the remedial excavations should be scarified to a depth of 6 inches, uniformly moisture conditioned to above optimum moisture content; and compacted to achieve a relative compaction of at least 90 percent of the ASTM D 1557 maximum dry density. Following compaction of the bottom, a layer of geogrid should be placed on the prepared subgrade that extends across the entire area of overexcavation and up the sidewalls of the remedial excavation. The reinforcing geogrids should consist of Tensar Tri-Axial TX7, or equivalent as approved by the Geotechnical Engineer. Where more than one geogrid roll is required, the rolls should be overlapped at least 3 feet. A 1-foot layer of one-inch minus aggregate base material should be placed and compacted over the bottom layer of geogrid. The aggregate base material should be uniformly moisture conditioned to at or above optimum moisture content and compacted to achieve a relative compaction of at least 95 percent of the ASTM D 1557 maximum dry density. A second layer of geogrid should be placed over the compacted aggregate base material, and an additional foot of aggregate base material should be placed and compacted on top of the second geogrid layer. The second layer of geogrid rolls should be overlapped by 3 feet where necessary, and extend across the entire excavation; however, it does not need to extend up the sidewalls. Once the second lift of aggregate base material has been placed and compacted, the remedial excavation may then be brought up to finished subgrade elevation using the excavated soil compacted to at least 95 percent of the ASTM D 1557 maximum dry density. Once the fill reaches 6 inches below finished subgrade elevation, the bottom layer of geogrid extending up the sidewall of the remedial excavation should be pulled down onto the compacted surface to create an 8-foot overlap. The remedial excavation may then be brought up to finished subgrade using the excavated soil compacted to at least 95 percent of the ASTM D 1557 maximum dry density. The area may then be paved to match the existing structural paving section.

The modular building manufacturer and installer may choose to increase the number of pipe anchors used to firmly secure the building into the geogrid reinforced mat and stiffen the wood foundation.

ADDITIONAL SERVICES

This report is based on the assumption that an adequate program of monitoring and testing will be performed by Earth Systems during construction to check compliance with the recommendations given in this report. The recommended tests and observations include, but are not necessarily limited to the following:

1. Review of the building and grading plans during the design phase of the project.
2. Observation and testing during site preparation, grading, placing of engineered fill, and foundation construction.
3. Consultation as required during construction.

LIMITATIONS AND UNIFORMITY OF CONDITIONS

The analysis and recommendations submitted in this report are based in part upon the data obtained from the borings and CPT soundings advanced on the site during earlier site studies. The nature and extent of variations between and beyond the borings and soundings may not become evident until construction. If variations then appear evident, it will be necessary to reevaluate the recommendations of this report.

The scope of services did not include any environmental assessment or investigation for the presence or absence of wetlands, hazardous or toxic materials in the soil, surface water, groundwater or air, on, below, or around this site. Any statements in this report or on the soil boring logs regarding odors noted, unusual or suspicious items or conditions observed, are strictly for the information of the client.

Findings of this report are valid as of this date; however, changes in conditions of a property can occur with passage of time whether they be due to natural processes or works of man on this or adjacent properties. In addition, changes in applicable or appropriate standards may occur whether they result from legislation or broadening of knowledge. Accordingly, findings of this report may be invalidated wholly or partially by changes outside the control of this firm. Therefore, this report is subject to review and should not be relied upon after a period of one year.

In the event that any changes in the nature, design, or location of the structure(s) and other improvements are planned, the conclusions and recommendations contained in this report shall not be considered valid unless the changes are reviewed and conclusions of this report modified or verified in writing.

This report is issued with the understanding that it is the responsibility of the Owner, or of his representative to ensure that the information and recommendations contained herein are called to the attention of the Architect and Engineers for the project and incorporated into the plan and that the necessary steps are taken to see that the Contractor and Subcontractors carry out such recommendations in the field.

As the Geotechnical Engineers for this project, Earth Systems has striven to provide services in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices in this community at this time. No warranty or guarantee is expressed or implied. This report was prepared for the exclusive use of the Client for the purposes stated in this document for the referenced project only. No third party may use or rely on this report without express written authorization from Earth Systems for such use or reliance.

It is recommended that Earth Systems be provided the opportunity for a general review of final design and specifications in order that earthwork and foundation recommendations may be properly interpreted and implemented in the design and specifications. If Earth Systems is not accorded the privilege of making this recommended review, it can assume no responsibility for misinterpretation of the recommendations.

SITE-SPECIFIC BIBLIOGRAPHY

Earth Systems Southern California, January 29, 2010, Geotechnical Engineering Report for Proposed Visitor's Bleachers, Hueneme High School, 500 West Bard Road, Oxnard, California (Job No. VT-23434-03).

Earth Systems Southern California, January 26, 2011, Engineering Geology and Geotechnical Engineering Report for Proposed Solar Array at Hueneme High School, 500 West Bard Road, Oxnard, California (Job No. VT-24513-01).

Earth Systems Southern California, November 14, 2011, Engineering Geology and Geotechnical Engineering Report for Proposed Aquatic Center at Hueneme High School, 500 West Bard Road, Oxnard, California (Job No. VT-24627-01).

Earth Systems Southern California, December 14, 2011, Addendum to Engineering Geology and Geotechnical Engineering Report for Proposed Aquatic Center at Hueneme High School, 500 West Bard Road, Oxnard, California (Job No. VT-24627-01).

Earth Systems Southern California, May 29, 2012, Addendum to Engineering Geology and Geotechnical Engineering Report for Proposed Solar Array in Northwestern Parking Lot, Hueneme High School, 500 West Bard Road, Oxnard, California (Job No. VT-24513-01).

Earth Systems Pacific, August 27, 2019, Geotechnical Engineering Report for Proposed Improvements to Athletic Fields at Hueneme High School, 500 West Bard Road, Oxnard, California (Job No. 303277-001).

GENERAL BIBLIOGRAPHY

American Concrete Institute (ACI), 2009, ACI 318-14.

California Building Standards Commission, 2016, California Building Code, California Code of Regulations Title 24.

California Division of Mines and Geology (C.D.M.G.), 1972 (Revised 1999), Fault Rupture Hazard Zones in California, Special Publication 42.

C.D.M.G., 1975, Seismic Hazards Study of Ventura County, California, Open File Report 76-5-LA.

California Geological Survey (C.G.S.), 2002a, Seismic Hazard Zone Report for the Oxnard 7.5-Minute Quadrangle, Ventura County, California, Seismic Hazard Zone Report 052.

C.G.S., 2002b, State of California Seismic Hazard Zones, Oxnard Quadrangle, Official Map, December 20, 2002.

C.G.S., 2008, Guidelines for Evaluating and Mitigating Seismic Hazards in California, Special Publication 117A.

Clahan, Kevin B., 2003, Geologic Map of the Oxnard 7.5' Quadrangle, Ventura County, California: A Digital Database, Version 1.0, U.S.G.S., S.C.A.M.P., and C.G.S. Map.

County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works, July 1, 2013, Manual for Preparation of Geotechnical Reports.

Idriss, I.M., and Boulanger, R.W., 2008, Soil Liquefaction during Earthquakes, Earthquake Engineering Research Institute, MNO-12.

Jennings, C.W. and W.A. Bryant, 2010, Fault Activity Map of California, C.G.S. Geologic Data Map No. 6.

NCEER, 1997, Proceedings of the NCEER Workshop on Evaluation of Liquefaction Resistance of Soils, Technical Report NCEER-97-0022.

Pradel, D., 1998 Procedure to Evaluate Earthquake-Induced Settlements in Dry Sandy Soils, Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 124, No. 4, April.

Pyke, R., Seed, H. B. And Chan, C. K., 1975, Settlement of Sands Under Multidirectional Shaking, ASCE, Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, Vol. 101, No. 4, April, 1975.

Seed, H. B., and Silver, M. L., 1972, Settlement of Dry Sands During Earthquakes, ASCE, Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, Vol. 98, No. 4.

Seed, H.B., 1987, Design Problems in Soil Liquefaction, Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division, ASCE, Volume 113, No. 8.

Southern California Earthquake Center (SCEC), 1999, Recommended Procedures for Implementation of DMG Special Publication 117, Guidelines for Analyzing and Mitigating Liquefaction in California.

Tokimatsu, Kohji and H. Bolton Seed, 1987, Evaluation of Settlements in Sands Due to Earthquake Shaking, Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, ASCE, August 1987, New York, New York.

Ventura County Planning Department, October 22, 2013, Ventura County General Plan Hazards Appendix.

Weber, F. Harold, Jr. and others, 1973, Geology and Mineral Resources of Southern Ventura County, California, C.D.M.G., Preliminary Report 14.

Youd, T.L., C.M. Hansen, and S.F. Bartlett, 2002, Revised Multilinear Regression Equations for Prediction of Lateral Spread Displacement, in Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, December 2002.

APPENDIX A



*Taken from USGS Topo Map, Oxnard Quadrangle, California, 2018.

N

Approximate Scale: 1" = 2,000’

0 2,000’ 4,000’

VICINITY MAP

Hueneme High School
Proposed Relocatable Classroom Building
Oxnard, California

Earth Systems

December 2019	303277-002



*Taken from USGS, SCAMP Geologic Map of the Ventura 7.5' Quadrangle, Ventura County, California, 2003.

Approximate Scale: 1" = 2,000'

0 2,000' 4,000'

N

Southern California USGS SCAMP CDMG Areal Mapping Project

MAP SYMBOLS

- Contact between map units of different relative age; generally approximately located.
- Contact between terraced alluvial units; hachures point towards topographically lower surface.
- Contact between similar map units; generally approximately located.
- Fault; dotted where concealed.
- Axis of anticline; dotted where concealed.
- Axis of syncline; dotted where concealed.

Qha3: Holocene alluvial deposits

Qhw3: Holocene wash deposit

REGIONAL GEOLOGIC MAP

Hueneme High School
Proposed Relocatable Classroom Buildings
Oxnard, California

Earth Systems

December 2019	303277-002



MAP EXPLANATION

Zones of Required Investigation:

Liquefaction

Areas where historical occurrence of liquefaction, or local geological, geotechnical and ground-water conditions indicate a potential for permanent ground displacements such that mitigation as defined in Public Resources Code Section 2693(c) would be required.

Within the Oxnard Quadrangle, no areas have been designated as "zones of required investigation for earthquake-induced landslides." However, the potential for landslides may exist locally, particularly along stream banks, margins of drainage channels, and similar settings where steep banks or slopes occur. Such occurrences are of limited lateral extent, or are too small and discontinuous to be depicted at 1:24,000 scale (the scale of Seismic Hazard Zone Maps). Within the liquefaction zones, some geologic settings may be susceptible to lateral-spreading (a condition wherein low-angle landsliding is associated with liquefaction). Also, landslide hazards can be created during excavation and grading unless appropriate techniques are used.

NOTE: Seismic Hazard Zones identified on this map may include developed land where delineated hazards have already been mitigated to city or county standards. Check with your local building/planning department for information regarding the location of such mitigated areas.

Approximate Scale: 1" = 2,000'

0 2,000' 4,000'

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SEISMIC HAZARD ZONES

Delineated in compliance with
Chapter 7.8, Division 2 of the California Public Resources Code
(Seismic Hazards Mapping Act)

OXNARD QUADRANGLE

REVISED OFFICIAL MAP

Released: December 20, 2002

N

SEISMIC HAZARD ZONES MAP

Hueneme High School
Proposed Relocatable Classroom Buildings
Oxnard, California

Earth Systems

December 2019	303277-002



*Taken from CGS, Seismic Hazard Zone Report For The Oxnard 7.5-Minute Quadrangle, Ventura County, California, 2003.

30 Depth to ground water in feet

● Borehole Site

Approximate Scale: 1" = 4,000'

0 4,000' 8,000'

N

HISTORICAL HIGH GROUNDWATER MAP

Hueneme High School
Proposed Relocatable Classroom Buildings
Oxnard, California

Earth Systems

December 2019	303277-002

FIELD STUDY

A. Two borings (B-1 and B-2) were drilled to depths ranging from approximately 26.5 to 51.5 feet below the existing ground surface to observe the soil profile and to obtain samples for laboratory analyses. The borings were drilled on October 22, 2019, using 8-inch diameter hollow-stem continuous flight auger powered by a Simco 2800 truck mounted drilling rig. The approximate locations of the borings were determined in the field by pacing and sighting, and are shown on the Site Plan in this Appendix.

B. Samples were obtained within the borings with a Modified California (M.C.) ring sampler (ASTM D 3550 with shoe similar to ASTM D 1586), and with a Standard Penetration Test (SPT) sampler (ASTM D 1586). The M.C. sampler has a 3-inch outside diameter, and a 2.42-inch inside diameter when used with brass ring liners (as it was during this study). The SPT sampler has a 2.00-inch outside diameter and a 1.37-inch inside diameter, but when used without liners, as was done for this project, the inside diameter is 1.63 inches. The samples were obtained by driving the samplers with a 140-pound hammer dropping 30 inches in accordance with ASTM D 1586. The hammer was operated with an automatic trip mechanism.

C. One bulk sample was collected from the cuttings of the soils encountered in Boring B-1 between the depths of 1 and 5 feet, and one was collected from the cuttings of the soils encountered in Boring B-2.

D. The final logs of the borings represent interpretations of the contents of the field logs and the results of laboratory testing performed on the samples obtained during the subsurface study. The final logs for the borings drilled for this study are included in this Appendix. In addition, the borings logs and logs of CPT soundings performed for previous investigations performed in close proximity to the proposed relocatable classroom buildings are included.



W BARD ROAD
(E) PARKING LOT
(E) BLDG.
(E) SOLAR CANOPY OVER (E) PARKING AREA
02.20.2020 - BID SET - NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
B-2 CPT-2
B-1
B-2
CPT-1
B-3
(E) 20'-0" WIDE FIRE ACCESS LANE ON (E) A.C. PAVING
ASSUMED PROPERTY LINE (TYP.)
PROVIDE 5'-0" WIDE C.L. GATE (TYP. OF 3)
60'-0"x30'-0" DISPERSAL AREA
(E) F.H.
1
A1.02
N
STREET
S C STREET
: Approximate boring locations.
: Approximate boring locations from 2011.
: Approximate boring locations from 2012.
: Approximate Cone Penetrometer Test (CPT) sounding location (2011).
: Approximate Cone Penetrometer Test (CPT) sounding location (2012)
Approximate Scale: 1" = 100'
0
100'
200'
SITE PLAN
Hueneme High School
Proposed Relocatable Classroom Buildings
Oxnard, California
Earth Systems
December 2019
303277-002

Logs of Borings

Earth Systems Pacific (2019)
Earth Systems Southern California (2012)
Earth Systems Southern California (2011)

Logs of CPT Soundings

Earth Systems Southern California (2012)
Earth Systems Southern California (2011)

Earth Systems

1731-A Walter Street, Ventura, California 93003
PHONE: (805) 642-6727 FAX: (805) 642-1325

BORING NO: B-1
PROJECT NAME: Hueneme H.S. Relocatables
PROJECT NUMBER: 303277-002
BORING LOCATION: Per Plan

DRILLING DATE: October 22, 2019
DRILL RIG: Simco 2800
DRILLING METHOD: Mud Rotary
LOGGED BY: SC

Vertical Depth	Sample Type	PENETRATION RESISTANCE (BLOWS/6")	SYMBOL	USCS CLASS	UNIT DRY WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	DESCRIPTION OF UNITS
0							4.0" Asphalt; 4.0" Base material.
	Bulk; Mod. Calif.	3/7/9		ML	84.7	35.2	**ALLUVIUM:** Mottled yellowish brown and gray silt and clayey silt; some caliche; stiff; moist.
5	Mod. Calif.	8/11/15		SM	101.3	18.8	**ALLUVIUM:** Mottled yellowish brown and gray silty fine sand; iron staining; medium dense; moist.
	SPT	5/7/7		SM			**ALLUVIUM:** Gray silty fine sand; medium dense; wet.
10	SPT	5/7/9		SM			Same as above.
	SPT	5/8/11		SM			**ALLUVIUM:** Olive gray silty fine sand; medium dense; wet.
15	SPT	7/9/13		SM			**ALLUVIUM:** Olive gray sand; fine to medium grained; some gravel; medium dense; wet.
	SPT	6/8/7		SM			Same as above; becoming fine grained.
20	SPT	2/5/12		SM			**ALLUVIUM:** Olive gray sand; fine to medium grained; some gravel; some clay clasts; medium dense; wet.
	SPT	4/3/3		CL			**ALLUVIUM:** Blackish gray silty clay with lenses of olive gray fine to medium grained sand; some gravel; soft; wet.
25	SPT	3/5/5		CL		26.0	**ALLUVIUM:** Interbedded yellowish brown silty clay; stiff; wet.
	SPT	5/6/4		ML			**ALLUVIUM:** Yellowish brown and gray sandy silt; loose; wet.
30	SPT	2/7/11		ML/SM			**ALLUVIUM:** Interbedded Dark gray clayey silt and silty fine sand; medium dense; wet.
	SPT	8/9/5		ML/SM			Same as above.
35	SPT	6/5/5		ML/SM			**ALLUVIUM:** Interbedded gray clayey silt; sandy silt and silty fine sand; medium dense; wet.
	SPT	4/11/8		ML/SM			Same as above.

Note: The stratification lines shown represent the approximate boundaries between soil and/or rock types and the transitions may be gradual.

Earth Systems
1731-A Walter Street, Ventura, California 93003
PHONE: (805) 642-6727 FAX: (805) 642-1325

BORING NO: B-1 (Continued)
PROJECT NAME: Hueneme H.S. Relocatables
PROJECT NUMBER: 303277-002
BORING LOCATION: Per Plan

DRILLING DATE: October 22, 2019
DRILL RIG: Simco 2800
DRILLING METHOD: Mud Rotary
LOGGED BY: SC

Vertical Depth	Sample Type: Bulk	Sample Type: SPT	Sample Type: Mod. Calif.	PENETRATION RESISTANCE (BLOWS/6")	SYMBOL	USCS CLASS	UNIT DRY WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	DESCRIPTION OF UNITS
40		■		3/4/6		CL		33.0	**ALLUVIUM:** Olive brown silty sandy clay; loose; wet.
		■		1/2/5		CL			**ALLUVIUM:** Olive brown silty clay with thin silty sand lenses; firm; wet.
45		■		3/3/4		CL/ML			**ALLUVIUM:** Interbedded dark olive brown silty clay and clayey silt; firm; wet.
		■		2/3/3		CL			**ALLUVIUM:** Dark olive brown and gray sandy silty clay; stiff; wet.
50		■		4/6/11		CL			**ALLUVIUM:** Interbedded dark olive brown clayey silt; sandy silt and silty fine sand; medium dense; wet.
									Total Depth: 51.5 feet. Groundwater Depth 7.2 feet.
55									
60									
65									
70									
75									

Note: The stratification lines shown represent the approximate boundaries between soil and/or rock types and the transitions may be gradual.

Earth Systems

1731-A Walter Street, Ventura, California 93003
PHONE: (805) 642-6727 FAX: (805) 642-1325

BORING NO: B-2
PROJECT NAME: Hueneme H.S. Relocatables
PROJECT NUMBER: 303277-002
BORING LOCATION: Per Plan

DRILLING DATE: October 22, 2019
DRILL RIG: Simco 2800
DRILLING METHOD: 8.0-Inch Hollow Stem Auger
LOGGED BY: SC

Vertical Depth	Sample Type: Bulk	Sample Type: SPT	Sample Type: Mod. Calif.	PENETRATION RESISTANCE (BLOWS/6")	SYMBOL	USCS CLASS	UNIT DRY WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	DESCRIPTION OF UNITS
0									4.0" Asphalt; 5.0" Base material.
	X		■	8/10/14		SM	95.1	3.9	**ALLUVIUM:** Mottled yellowish brown silty fine sand; medium dense; moist.
5			■	8/11/13		SM			**ALLUVIUM:** Yellowish brown slightly silty coarse sand with gravel; medium dense; moist.
	▼		■	5/9/14		SM	80.2	18.4	**ALLUVIUM:** Yellowish brown silty fine sand with coarse gravel; medium dense; wet.
10		■		9/11/11		SM			**ALLUVIUM:** Yellowish brown slightly silty sand; medium dense; wet.
		■		8/8/11		SM			Same as above.
15		■		7/12/14		SM			**ALLUVIUM:** Yellowish brown slightly silty sand; medium dense; wet.
		■		5/10/11		SM			**ALLUVIUM:** Olive brown silty fine sand; medium dense; wet.
20		■		6/9/14		SM			**ALLUVIUM:** Olive brown silty sand; fine to medium grained; medium dense; wet.
		■		4/10/18		SM			**ALLUVIUM:** Gray silty sand; fine to medium grained; with coarse gravel; medium dense; wet.
25		■		9/18/21		SM			**ALLUVIUM:** Gray silty fine sand; dense; wet.
									Total Depth: 26.5 feet. Groundwater Depth 8.0 feet.
30									
35									

Note: The stratification lines shown represent the approximate boundaries between soil and/or rock types and the transitions may be gradual.

Earth Systems Southern California
1731-A Walter Street, Ventura, California 93003
PHONE: (805) 642-6727 FAX: (805) 642-1325

BORING NO: 2	DRILLING DATE: December 9, 2010
PROJECT NAME: Hueneme High School Solar Array	DRILL RIG: Mobile B-61
PROJECT NUMBER: VT-24513-01	DRILLING METHOD: 4" Mud Rotary
BORING LOCATION: Per Plan	LOGGED BY: G. Olin

Vertical Depth	Sample Type: Bulk	Sample Type: SPT	Sample Type: Mod. Calif.	PENETRATION RESISTANCE (BLOWS/6")	SYMBOL	USCS CLASS	UNIT DRY WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	DESCRIPTION OF UNITS
0						ML			2 inches of asphalt at surface **ARTIFICIAL FILL:** Sandy silt, stiff, slightly moist, yellowish brown
			■	7/12/16		SW	100.3	5.0	**ALLUVIUM:** Medium sand, medium dense, slightly moist, gray pinkish orange
5			■	7/10/15		SW	98.6	8.1	**ALLUVIUM:** Medium sand with gravel, medium dense, slightly moist, gray pinkish orange
10			■	8/12/11		SW	104.9	20.5	**ALLUVIUM:** Medium to coarse sand, medium dense, wet, gray pinkish orange
15		■		8/8/4		SW			**ALLUVIUM:** Coarse sand with some gravels and trace clay, medium dense, wet, gray pinkish orange
20		■		7/14/11		SW			**ALLUVIUM:** Medium to coarse sand with some gravels, medium dense, wet, gray pinkish orange
25		■		11/11/14		SM			**ALLUVIUM:** Medium sand with silt, medium dense, wet, gray pinkish orange
30		■		1/1/3		CL			**ALLUVIUM:** Silty clay with coarse sand, medium stiff, wet, yellowish brown
35		■		2/2/5		CL			**ALLUVIUM:** Silty sandy clay, medium stiff, wet, grayish brown

Note: The stratification lines shown represent the approximate boundaries between soil and/or rock types and the transitions may be gradual.

Earth Systems Southern California
1731-A Walter Street, Ventura, California 93003
PHONE: (805) 642-6727 FAX: (805) 642-1325

BORING NO: 2 (Continued)	DRILLING DATE: December 9, 2010
PROJECT NAME: Hueneme High School Solar Array	DRILL RIG: Mobile B-61
PROJECT NUMBER: VT-24513-01	DRILLING METHOD: 4" Mud Rotary
BORING LOCATION: Per Plan	LOGGED BY: G. Olin

Vertical Depth	Sample Type: Bulk	Sample Type: SPT	Sample Type: Mod. Calif.	PENETRATION RESISTANCE (BLOWS/6"	SYMBOL	USCS CLASS	UNIT DRY WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	DESCRIPTION OF UNITS
40		■		4/5/8		CL			**ALLUVIUM:** Silty sandy clay, stiff, wet, grayish brown
45		■		1/1/4		CL			**ALLUVIUM:** Clay with medium sand, medium stiff, wet, grayish
50		■		1/3/5		CL			**ALLUVIUM:** Sandy clay, medium stiff, wet, grayish brown
									TOTAL DEPTH: 51.5 Feet
55									**Depth to Groundwater Could Not Be Measured**
60									
65									
70									
75									

Note: The stratification lines shown represent the approximate boundaries between soil and/or rock types and the transitions may be gradual.

Earth Systems Southern California
1731-A Walter Street, Ventura, California 93003
PHONE: (805) 642-6727 FAX: (805) 642-1325

BORING NO: 3	DRILLING DATE: December 8, 2010
PROJECT NAME: Hueneme High School Solar Array	DRILL RIG: Mobile B-61
PROJECT NUMBER: VT-24513-01	DRILLING METHOD: 6" Hollow Stem
BORING LOCATION: Per Plan	LOGGED BY: G. Olin

Vertical Depth	Sample Type: Bulk	Sample Type: SPT	Sample Type: Mod. Calif.	PENETRATION RESISTANCE (BLOWS/6")	SYMBOL	USCS CLASS	UNIT DRY WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	DESCRIPTION OF UNITS
0	X								2 inches of asphalt over aggregate base to 2 feet
	X					ML			**ARTIFICIAL FILL:** Silty sand, dense, slightly moist, yellowish brown
	X		■	7/7/9		SW	97.8	5.9	**ALLUVIUM:** Fine to medium sand, loose, slightly moist, gray pinkish orange
5			■	4/9/5		SW	106.6	11.2	**ALLUVIUM:** Medium to coarse sand with some gravels, loose, moist, gray pinkish orange
10			■	3/5/10		SW	102.4	20.1	**ALLUVIUM:** Medium to coarse sand with some gravels, loose, wet, gray pinkish orange
15		■		3/3/8		SW			**ALLUVIUM:** Medium sand with some gravels, medium dense, wet, gray pinkish orange
20		■		1/2/4		SW			**ALLUVIUM:** Medium sand with some gravels, loose, wet, gray pinkish orange
25									**TOTAL DEPTH: 21.5 Feet**
									Groundwater Was Encountered At 7.5 Feet
30									
35									

Note: The stratification lines shown represent the approximate boundaries between soil and/or rock types and the transitions may be gradual.

Earth Systems Southern California
1731-A Walter Street, Ventura, California 93003
PHONE: (805) 642-6727 FAX: (805) 642-1325

BORING NO: 2
PROJECT NAME: Hueneme High School Solar Array
PROJECT NUMBER: VT-24513-01
BORING LOCATION: Per Plan

DRILLING DATE: May 10, 2012
DRILL RIG: Mobile B-61
DRILLING METHOD: 4" Mud Rotary
LOGGED BY: G. Olin

Vertical Depth	Sample Type: Bulk	SPT	Mod. Calif.	PENETRATION RESISTANCE (BLOWS/6")	SYMBOL	USCS CLASS	UNIT DRY WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	DESCRIPTION OF UNITS
0						GM			3" AC over gravelly silty sand; slightly moist; dense; yellow brown
				3/5/8		CL	91.7	31.4	**ALLUVIUM:** Sandy clay, moist, stiff, olive brown
5				4/5/7		SW	97.5	26.6	**ALLUVIUM:** Fine to medium sand; moist; dense; olive brown
				8/8/8		SW			**ALLUVIUM:** Fine to medium sand; moist; dense; dark gray
10				6/7/9		SC			**ALLUVIUM:** Clayey silty sand; moist; dense; dark gray
15				7/9/10		SW			**ALLUVIUM:** Fine to medium sand; moist; dense; dark gray
20				12/14/12		SW			**ALLUVIUM:** Medium to coarse silty sand with some coarse gravel; moist; dense; dark gray
25									**TOTAL DEPTH: 21.5 Feet**
									Groundwater Was Encountered At 4.5 Feet
30									
35									

Note: The stratification lines shown represent the approximate boundaries between soil and/or rock types and the transitions may be gradual.

Earth Systems
Southern California

CPT No: CPT-1
Project Name: Hueneme HS Solar Array
Project No.: VT24513-01
Location: See Site Exploration Plan

CPT Vendor: **Kehoe Testing and Engineering**
Truck Mounted Electric
Cone with 30-ton reaction
Date: 12/2/2010

DEPTH (FEET)

Interpreted Soil Stratigraphy Robertson & Campanella ('89)	Density/Consistency
Sand to Silty Sand	dense
Sand to Silty Sand	dense
Sand to Silty Sand	dense
Sand	dense
Sand to Silty Sand	dense
Sand to Silty Sand	dense
Sand	dense
Sand	medium dense
Sand	dense
Sand	dense
Sand	dense
Sand	dense
Sand	dense
Sand	dense
Sand	dense
Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	medium dense
Sand to Silty Sand	medium dense
Sand	dense
Sand	dense
Sand	dense
Sand	dense
Sand to Silty Sand	medium dense
Sand to Silty Sand	medium dense
Sand to Silty Sand	medium dense
Gravelly Sand to Sand	dense
Gravelly Sand to Sand	dense
Gravelly Sand to Sand	dense
Gravelly Sand to Sand	dense
Sand	dense
Silty Clay to Clay	very stiff
Clay	stiff
Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	medium dense
Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	medium dense
Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	stiff
Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	medium dense
Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	very stiff
Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	medium dense
Sand to Silty Sand	medium dense
Sand	medium dense
Sand to Silty Sand	medium dense
Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	very stiff
Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	very stiff
Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	medium dense
Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	medium dense
Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	very stiff
Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	stiff
Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	stiff
Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	very stiff
Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	stiff
Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	stiff
Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	stiff
Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	very stiff

End of Sounding @ 53.0 feet



Earth Systems
Southern California

CONE PENETROMETER INTERPRETATION

(based on Robertson & Campanella, 1989)

Project: Hueneme HS Solar Array Project No: VT24513-01 Date: 12/02/10

CPT SOUNDING: CPT-1 Plot: 1 Density: 1 SPT N Program developed 2003 by Shelton L. Stringer, GE, Earth Systems Southwest

Est. GWT (feet): **5.0** Dr correlation: 0 Baldi Qc/N: 0 Jefferies & Davies Phi Correlation: 4 SPT N

Base Depth meters	Base Depth feet	Avg Tip Qc, tsf	Avg Friction Ratio, %	Soil Classification	USCS	Density or Consistency	Est. Density (pcf)	Qc to N	SPT N(60)	Total po tsf	p'o tsf	F	n	Cq	Norm. Qc1n	2.6 Ic	Clean Sand Qc1n	$N_{1(60)}$	Clean Sand $N_{1(60)}$	Est. % Fines	Rel. Dens. Dr (%)	Phi (deg.)	Su (tsf)	Nk: 17 OCR
0.15	0.5	89.48	1.11	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	100	5.4	16	0.013	0.013	1.11	0.56	1.70	143.8	1.82	161.6	28	32	20	92	36		
0.30	1.0	81.08	1.25	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	100	5.3	15	0.038	0.038	1.25	0.57	1.70	130.3	1.89	153.6	26	31	25	88	35		
0.46	1.5	73.74	1.02	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	100	5.4	14	0.063	0.063	1.02	0.57	1.70	118.5	1.86	136.7	23	27	25	84	34		
0.61	2.0	74.00	0.72	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.5	13	0.090	0.090	0.73	0.54	1.70	118.9	1.76	128.6	23	26	20	84	34		
0.76	2.5	66.81	0.90	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.4	12	0.120	0.120	0.91	0.57	1.70	107.3	1.86	123.7	21	25	25	80	34		
0.91	3.0	85.88	0.61	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.7	15	0.150	0.150	0.61	0.51	1.70	138.0	1.67	140.3	25	28	15	90	35		
1.07	3.5	114.37	0.81	Sand	SP	dense	120	5.8	20	0.180	0.180	0.81	0.50	1.70	183.8	1.65	184.6	34	37	15	100	37		
1.22	4.0	121.64	0.79	Sand	SP	dense	120	5.8	21	0.210	0.210	0.79	0.50	1.70	195.5	1.62	195.5	36	39	15	100	37		
1.37	4.5	117.02	0.93	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	dense	120	5.7	21	0.240	0.240	0.93	0.51	1.70	188.0	1.69	193.3	35	39	15	100	37		
1.52	5.0	85.31	1.00	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.5	16	0.270	0.270	1.01	0.55	1.70	137.1	1.81	152.6	27	31	20	90	35		
1.68	5.5	72.21	0.86	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.4	13	0.300	0.284	0.86	0.55	1.70	116.0	1.82	130.0	23	26	20	83	34		
1.83	6.0	87.08	0.42	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.9	15	0.330	0.299	0.42	0.50	1.70	139.9	1.57	139.9	25	28	10	91	35		
1.98	6.5	95.61	0.48	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.9	16	0.360	0.313	0.48	0.50	1.70	153.6	1.57	153.6	27	31	10	95	35		
2.13	7.0	93.15	0.51	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.9	16	0.390	0.328	0.51	0.50	1.70	149.7	1.59	149.7	27	30	10	94	35		
2.29	7.5	78.79	0.41	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.9	13	0.420	0.342	0.41	0.50	1.70	126.6	1.60	126.6	23	25	10	87	34		
2.44	8.0	82.09	0.29	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	6.0	14	0.450	0.356	0.29	0.50	1.70	131.9	1.51	131.9	23	26	10	88	34		
2.59	8.5	110.72	0.57	Sand	SP	dense	120	5.9	19	0.480	0.371	0.57	0.50	1.69	176.8	1.57	176.8	31	35	10	100	36		
2.74	9.0	117.91	0.42	Sand	SP	dense	120	6.1	19	0.510	0.385	0.43	0.50	1.66	184.7	1.47	184.7	31	37	5	100	36		
2.90	9.5	111.53	0.45	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	6.0	18	0.540	0.400	0.45	0.50	1.63	171.5	1.52	171.5	29	34	10	99	36		
3.05	10.0	127.28	0.46	Sand	SP	dense	120	6.1	21	0.570	0.414	0.46	0.50	1.60	192.3	1.48	192.3	32	38	5	100	37		
3.20	10.5	138.51	0.44	Sand	SP	dense	120	6.2	22	0.600	0.428	0.44	0.50	1.57	205.7	1.44	205.7	34	41	5	100	37		
3.35	11.0	114.95	0.55	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.9	19	0.630	0.443	0.56	0.50	1.55	167.9	1.58	167.9	29	34	10	98	36		
3.51	11.5	109.92	0.49	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.9	19	0.660	0.457	0.50	0.50	1.52	158.0	1.57	158.0	27	32	10	96	35		
3.66	12.0	113.08	0.48	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	6.0	19	0.690	0.472	0.48	0.50	1.50	160.1	1.55	160.1	28	32	10	96	35		
3.81	12.5	110.97	0.41	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	6.0	18	0.720	0.486	0.41	0.50	1.48	154.8	1.53	154.8	27	31	10	95	35		
3.96	13.0	115.44	0.39	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	6.0	19	0.750	0.500	0.39	0.50	1.45	158.7	1.51	158.7	27	32	10	96	35		
4.11	13.5	109.18	0.62	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.8	19	0.780	0.515	0.62	0.50	1.44	148.3	1.65	148.5	26	30	15	93	35		
4.27	14.0	125.17	0.61	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.9	21	0.810	0.529	0.61	0.50	1.41	167.3	1.60	167.3	29	33	10	98	36		
4.42	14.5	137.18	0.37	Sand	SP	dense	120	6.2	22	0.840	0.544	0.37	0.50	1.40	180.9	1.45	180.9	30	36	5	100	36		
4.57	15.0	143.00	0.29	Sand	SP	dense	120	6.3	23	0.870	0.558	0.29	0.50	1.38	186.1	1.38	186.1	30	37	5	100	36		
4.72	15.5	114.63	0.53	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.8	20	0.900	0.572	0.54	0.50	1.36	147.3	1.61	147.3	26	29	10	93	35		
4.88	16.0	33.61	2.54	Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	ML	medium dense	120	4.3	8	0.930	0.587	2.61	0.74	1.54	49.0	2.42	117.0	10	23	60	47	30		
5.03	16.5	61.61	0.95	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.1	12	0.960	0.601	0.96	0.60	1.40	81.8	1.97	103.0	15	21	30	68	32		
5.18	17.0	114.80	0.48	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.9	20	0.990	0.616	0.49	0.50	1.31	142.2	1.60	142.2	25	28	10	91	35		
5.33	17.5	160.64	0.37	Sand	SP	dense	120	6.2	26	1.020	0.630	0.38	0.50	1.30	196.8	1.42	196.8	33	39	5	100	37		
5.49	18.0	168.91	0.49	Sand	SP	dense	120	6.1	28	1.050	0.644	0.49	0.50	1.28	204.6	1.47	204.6	34	41	5	100	37		
5.64	18.5	183.67	0.49	Sand	SP	dense	120	6.2	30	1.080	0.659	0.49	0.50	1.27	220.0	1.45	220.0	37	44	5	100	38		
5.79	19.0	189.17	0.38	Sand	SP	dense	120	6.3	30	1.110	0.673	0.38	0.50	1.25	224.1	1.37	224.1	37	45	5	100	38		
5.94	19.5	204.62	0.38	Sand	SP	dense	120	6.3	32	1.140	0.688	0.38	0.50	1.24	239.9	1.35	239.9	39	48	5	100	38		
6.10	20.0	168.00	0.46	Sand	SP	dense	120	6.1	28	1.170	0.702	0.46	0.50	1.23	194.9	1.48	194.9	33	39	5	100	37		
6.25	20.5	184.17	0.36	Sand	SP	dense	120	6.3	29	1.200	0.716	0.36	0.50	1.22	211.5	1.39	211.5	35	42	5	100	37		
6.40	21.0	176.78	0.42	Sand	SP	dense	120	6.2	29	1.230	0.731	0.42	0.50	1.20	201.0	1.44	201.0	33	40	5	100	37		
6.55	21.5	105.76	0.90	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.4	19	1.260	0.745	0.91	0.55	1.21	121.4	1.82	136.1	23	27	20	85	34		
6.71	22.0	66.57	0.70	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.2	13	1.290	0.760	0.71	0.58	1.21	76.4	1.91	91.9	15	18	25	66	32		
6.86	22.5	55.65	1.52	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM/ML	medium dense	120	4.7	12	1.320	0.774	1.56	0.66	1.23	64.7	2.18	104.7	13	21	40	59	31		
7.01	23.0	84.75	0.61	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.5	16	1.350	0.788	0.62	0.55	1.18	94.2	1.81	104.7	17	21	20	74	32		
7.16	23.5	57.70	1.56	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM/ML	medium dense	120	4.7	12	1.380	0.803	1.60	0.66	1.20	65.5	2.18	106.4	14	21	40	59	31		
7.32	24.0	118.61	0.70	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.6	21	1.410	0.817	0.70	0.53	1.15	128.5	1.73	135.9	23	27	15	87	34		
7.47	24.5	223.96	0.41	Sand	SP	dense	120	6.3	36	1.440	0.832	0.42	0.50	1.13	238.8	1.38	238.8	39	48	5	100	38		
7.62	25.0	272.75	0.29	Gravelly Sand to Sand	SW	dense	120	6.6	41	1.470	0.846	0.29	0.50	1.12	288.3	1.22	288.3	45	58	0	100	40		
7.77	25.5	280.25	0.28	Gravelly Sand to Sand	SW	dense	120	6.6	42	1.500	0.860	0.28	0.50	1.11	293.7	1.20	293.7	46	59	0	100	40		
7.92	26.0	267.68	0.28	Gravelly Sand to Sand	SW	dense	120	6.6	41	1.530	0.875	0.28	0.50	1.10	278.2	1.22	278.2	43	56	0	100	39		
8.08	26.5	275.62	0.36	Gravelly Sand to Sand	SW	dense	120	6.5	42	1.560	0.889	0.36	0.50	1.09	284.2	1.28	284.2	45	57	0	100	40		
8.23	27.0	271.19	0.43	Gravelly Sand to Sand	SW	dense	120	6.4	43	1.590	0.904	0.44	0.50	1.08	277.4	1.34	277.4	45	55	5	100	40		
8.38	27.5	260.86	0.47	Gravelly Sand to Sand	SW	dense	120	6.3	41	1.620	0.918	0.47	0.50	1.07	264.7	1.38	264.7	43	53	5	100	39		
8.53	28.0	246.18	0.38	Gravelly Sand to Sand	SW	dense	120	6.4	39	1.650	0.932	0.38	0.50	1.07	247.9	1.34	247.9	40	50	5	100	38		
8.69	28.5	229.06	0.34	Gravelly Sand to Sand	SW	dense	120	6.4	36	1.680	0.947	0.35	0.50	1.06	228.9	1.35	228.9	37	46	5	100	38		
8.84	29.0	148.41	0.79	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.6	26	1.710	0.961	0.80	0.52	1.05	147.5	1.72	154.8	27	31	15	93	35		
8.99	29.5	33.14	3.81	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	ML/CL	very stiff	120	3.8	9	1.740	0.976	4.02	0.81	1.07	33.4	2.67		9		85			1.89	9.7
9.14	30.0	20.82	4.26	Silty Clay to Clay	CL	very stiff	120	3.4	6	1.770	0.990	4.66	0.87	1.06	20.8	2.86		6		100			1.17	5.8
9.30	30.5	10.92	4.95	Clay	CL/CH	stiff	120	2.8	4	1.800	1.004	5.93	0.96	1.05	10.8	3.15		4		100			0.58	2.7
9.45	31.0	10.85	5.04	Clay	CL/CH	stiff	120	2.8	4	1.830	1.019	6.07	0.97	1.04	10.6	3.16		4		100			0.58	2.7
9.60	31.5	38.77	2.99	Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	ML	loose	120	4.0	10	1.860	1.033	3.15	0.78	1.02	37.3	2.56	115.3	10	23	75	36	30		
9.75	32.0	65.94	2.88	Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	ML	medium dense	120	4.3	15	1.890	1.048	2.97	0.72	1.01	62.8	2.38	140.0	15	28	55	58	32		
9.91	32.5	55.00	1.50	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM/ML	medium dense	120	4.6	12	1.920	1.062	1.55	0.69	1.00	51.9	2.25	93.6	12	19	45	50	31		
10.06	33.0	18.24	3.06	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	ML/CL	very stiff	120	3.4	5	1.950	1.076	3.43	0.87	0.99	17.0	2.85		5		100			1.01	4.5
10.21	33.5	16.68	3.57	Silty Clay to Clay	CL	stiff	120	3.3	5	1.980	1.091	4.05	0.89	0.97	15.3	2.93		5		100			0.92	4.0
10.36	34.0	17.93	2.78	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	ML/CL	stiff	120	3.4	5	2.010	1.105	3.13	0.87	0.96	16.3	2.84		5		100			0.99	4.3
10.52	34.5	32.76	1.79	Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	ML	loose	120	4.1	8	2.040	1.120	1.91	0.76	0.96	29.7	2.50	81.9	8	16	65	26	29		
10.67	35.0	63.22	0.77	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.0	13	2.070	1.134	0.80	0.62	0.96	57.2	2.05	78.2	12	16	35	54	31		
10.82	35.5	27.95	1.85	Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	ML	loose	120	4.0	7	2.100	1.148	2.00	0.78	0.94	24.8	2.57	78.5	7	16	75	19	29		
10.97	36.0	13.43	2.56	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	ML/CL	stiff	120	3.2	4	2.130	1.163	3.04	0.90	0.92	11.7	2.95		4		100			0.72	2.9
11.13	36.5	23.00	2.24	Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	ML	very stiff	120	3.7	6	2.160	1.177	2.47	0.82	0.92	19.9	2.70		6		85			1.28	5.3
11.28	37.0	72.77	0.85	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.0	14	2.190	1.192	0.88	0.62	0.93	63.9	2.03	85.7	13	17	30	58	31		
11.43	37.5	106.94	0.60	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.5	20	2.220	1.206	0.61	0.55	0.93	94.0	1.80	104.3	18	21	20	74	33		

Earth Systems
Southern California

CPT No: CPT-2

Project Name: Hueneme High School Northwest Solar Array
Project No.: VT24513-01
Location: See Site Exploration Plan

CPT Vendor: Kehoe Testing and Engineering
Truck Mounted Electric
Cone with 30-ton reaction
Date: 5/3/2012

DEPTH (FEET)	Interpreted Soil Stratigraphy Robertson & Campanella ('89)	Density/Consistency
	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	dense
	Clay	very stiff
	Clay	stiff
	Silty Clay to Clay	stiff
5	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	medium dense
	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	medium dense
	Sand to Silty Sand	medium dense
	Sand to Silty Sand	medium dense
	Sand to Silty Sand	medium dense
10	Sand to Silty Sand	medium dense
	Sand to Silty Sand	medium dense
	Sand	dense
	Sand to Silty Sand	medium dense
	Sand to Silty Sand	medium dense
15	Sand to Silty Sand	medium dense
	Sand	dense
	Sand	dense
	Sand	dense
	Sand	dense
20	Sand	dense
	Sand to Silty Sand	very dense
	Sand	dense
	Sand to Silty Sand	medium dense
	Clay	firm
25	Clay	firm
	Clay	firm
	Clay	firm
	Clay	firm
	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	very stiff
30	Silty Clay to Clay	stiff
	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	very stiff
	Sand to Silty Sand	medium dense
	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	medium dense
	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	medium dense
35	Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	loose
	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	stiff
	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	medium dense
	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	medium dense
	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	very stiff
40	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	loose
	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	stiff
	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	stiff
	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	stiff
	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	stiff
45	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	stiff
	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	stiff
	Sand to Silty Sand	medium dense
	Sand	medium dense
	Sand	medium dense
50		

End of Sounding @ 50.2 feet



Earth Systems
Southern California

CONE PENETROMETER INTERPRETATION

(based on Robertson & Campanella, 1989)

Project: Hueneme High School Northwest Solar Array Project No: VT24513-01 Date: 05/03/12

CPT SOUNDING: CPT-2 Plot: 1 Density: 1 SPT N Program developed 2003 by Shelton L. Stringer, GE, Earth Systems Southwest

Est. GWT (feet): 5.0 Dr correlation: 0 Baldi Qc/N: 0 Jefferies & Davies Phi Correlation: 4 SPT N

Base Depth meters	Base Depth feet	Avg Tip Qc, tsf	Avg Friction Ratio, %	Soil Classification	USCS	Density or Consistency	Est. Density (pcf)	Qc to N	SPT N(60)	Total po tsf	p'o tsf	F	n	Cq	Norm. Qc1n	2.6 Ic	Clean Sand Qc1n	$N_{1(60)}$	Clean Sand $N_{1(60)}$	Est. % Fines	Rel. Dens. Dr (%)	Phi (deg.)	Nk: 17 Su (tsf)	OCR
0.15	0.5	163.60	1.23	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	dense	100	5.7	29	0.013	0.013	1.23	0.51	1.70	262.9	1.68	269.2	49	54	15	100	40		
0.30	1.0	66.50	3.92	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	ML/CL	medium dense	110	4.5	15	0.039	0.039	3.93	0.70	1.70	106.9	2.32	214.1	25	43	50	80	35		
0.46	1.5	35.97	4.90	Silty Clay to Clay	CL	medium dense	110	4.0	9	0.066	0.066	4.91	0.78	1.70	57.8	2.56	179.6	15	36	75	54	32		
0.61	2.0	17.87	4.56	Clay	CL/CH	very stiff	120	3.6	5	0.095	0.095	4.58	0.83	1.70	28.7	2.75		5		90			1.05	56.1
0.76	2.5	12.30	4.63	Clay	CL/CH	stiff	120	3.4	4	0.125	0.125	4.68	0.87	1.70	19.8	2.88		4		100			0.72	29.2
0.91	3.0	11.43	4.00	Clay	CL/CH	stiff	120	3.4	3	0.155	0.155	4.05	0.87	1.70	18.4	2.86		3		100			0.66	21.8
1.07	3.5	15.13	3.28	Silty Clay to Clay	CL	stiff	120	3.7	4	0.185	0.185	3.32	0.82	1.70	24.3	2.72		4		90			0.88	24.2
1.22	4.0	13.87	3.93	Silty Clay to Clay	CL	stiff	120	3.5	4	0.215	0.215	4.00	0.85	1.70	22.3	2.80		4		95			0.80	19.0
1.37	4.5	30.17	1.35	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM/ML	medium dense	120	4.6	7	0.245	0.245	1.37	0.68	1.70	48.5	2.24	85.9	11	17	45	47	30		
1.52	5.0	48.10	0.77	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.2	9	0.275	0.275	0.77	0.59	1.70	77.3	1.93	94.3	16	19	25	66	32		
1.68	5.5	47.23	0.92	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM/ML	medium dense	120	5.1	9	0.305	0.289	0.92	0.60	1.70	75.9	1.98	97.0	16	19	30	65	32		
1.83	6.0	49.90	0.96	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM/ML	medium dense	120	5.1	10	0.335	0.304	0.97	0.60	1.70	80.2	1.98	101.9	17	20	30	68	32		
1.98	6.5	56.40	0.91	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.2	11	0.365	0.318	0.91	0.58	1.70	90.6	1.92	109.4	18	22	25	73	33		
2.13	7.0	67.03	1.05	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.3	13	0.395	0.333	1.06	0.58	1.70	107.7	1.90	128.3	22	26	25	80	34		
2.29	7.5	73.03	1.19	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.3	14	0.425	0.347	1.20	0.58	1.70	117.4	1.91	140.6	24	28	25	83	34		
2.44	8.0	82.70	1.08	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.4	15	0.455	0.361	1.08	0.56	1.70	132.9	1.84	151.1	26	30	20	89	35		
2.59	8.5	88.90	1.05	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.5	16	0.485	0.376	1.06	0.55	1.70	142.8	1.81	159.2	27	32	20	92	35		
2.74	9.0	90.17	1.08	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.4	17	0.515	0.390	1.09	0.55	1.70	144.9	1.81	161.8	27	32	20	92	35		
2.90	9.5	83.10	1.16	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.4	16	0.545	0.405	1.16	0.57	1.70	133.5	1.86	154.1	24	31	25	89	35		
3.05	10.0	84.60	1.07	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.4	16	0.575	0.419	1.08	0.56	1.68	134.2	1.84	152.2	24	30	20	89	34		
3.20	10.5	87.07	1.28	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.3	16	0.605	0.433	1.29	0.57	1.67	137.3	1.88	161.1	25	32	25	90	35		
3.35	11.0	102.33	0.92	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.6	18	0.635	0.448	0.93	0.53	1.58	153.1	1.75	164.0	27	33	20	94	35		
3.51	11.5	118.30	0.84	Sand	SP	dense	120	5.7	21	0.665	0.462	0.85	0.52	1.53	171.3	1.69	176.4	31	35	15	99	36		
3.66	12.0	124.83	0.92	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	dense	120	5.7	22	0.695	0.477	0.93	0.52	1.51	178.6	1.70	185.4	32	37	15	100	37		
3.81	12.5	104.00	1.14	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.4	19	0.725	0.491	1.15	0.55	1.53	150.5	1.82	168.7	27	34	20	94	35		
3.96	13.0	116.07	0.73	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.7	20	0.755	0.505	0.73	0.51	1.46	159.8	1.67	162.4	28	32	15	96	36		
4.11	13.5	120.53	0.95	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.6	22	0.785	0.520	0.96	0.53	1.46	166.0	1.73	175.9	30	35	15	98	36		
4.27	14.0	119.70	0.99	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.6	21	0.815	0.534	1.00	0.54	1.44	163.1	1.75	175.0	29	35	20	97	36		
4.42	14.5	123.67	0.90	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.6	22	0.845	0.549	0.90	0.52	1.41	165.0	1.72	173.3	30	35	15	98	36		
4.57	15.0	125.57	0.93	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.6	22	0.875	0.563	0.94	0.53	1.40	165.6	1.73	175.0	30	35	15	98	36		
4.72	15.5	126.10	0.92	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.6	22	0.905	0.577	0.92	0.53	1.38	164.1	1.73	173.2	30	35	15	97	36		
4.88	16.0	133.98	0.96	Sand	SP	dense	120	5.6	24	0.935	0.592	0.97	0.53	1.36	172.0	1.73	181.5	31	36	15	99	36		
5.03	16.5	137.17	1.05	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	dense	120	5.6	25	0.965	0.606	1.05	0.53	1.35	174.5	1.75	186.7	32	37	20	100	36		
5.18	17.0	146.77	0.93	Sand	SP	dense	120	5.7	26	0.995	0.621	0.93	0.52	1.32	182.9	1.70	189.2	33	38	15	100	37		
5.33	17.5	151.27	0.97	Sand	SP	dense	120	5.7	27	1.025	0.635	0.98	0.52	1.30	186.5	1.70	194.1	34	39	15	100	37		
5.49	18.0	151.10	1.03	Sand	SP	dense	120	5.6	27	1.055	0.649	1.04	0.53	1.29	184.7	1.73	194.8	33	39	15	100	37		
5.64	18.5	155.63	0.97	Sand	SP	dense	120	5.7	27	1.085	0.664	0.97	0.52	1.27	187.4	1.70	194.6	34	39	15	100	37		
5.79	19.0	174.17	0.89	Sand	SP	dense	120	5.8	30	1.115	0.678	0.89	0.50	1.25	205.8	1.65	205.9	37	41	15	100	38		
5.94	19.5	228.43	0.57	Sand	SP	dense	120	6.2	37	1.145	0.693	0.57	0.50	1.24	266.9	1.43	266.9	44	53	5	100	39		
6.10	20.0	248.57	0.68	Sand	SP	dense	120	6.1	41	1.175	0.707	0.68	0.50	1.22	287.4	1.46	287.4	48	57	5	100	40		
6.25	20.5	305.30	2.01	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	very dense	120	5.5	55	1.205	0.721	2.01	0.54	1.23	355.2	1.78	388.0	65	78	20	100	43		
6.40	21.0	317.63	1.09	Sand	SP	very dense	120	5.9	53	1.235	0.736	1.10	0.50	1.20	360.0	1.56	360.0	62	72	10	100	43		
6.55	21.5	224.03	0.55	Sand	SP	dense	120	6.2	36	1.265	0.750	0.55	0.50	1.19	251.5	1.44	251.5	42	50	5	100	39		
6.71	22.0	142.30	0.88	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.6	25	1.295	0.765	0.88	0.53	1.19	159.5	1.72	167.9	29	34	15	96	36		
6.86	22.5	136.03	0.74	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.7	24	1.325	0.779	0.74	0.52	1.17	150.6	1.69	155.4	27	31	15	94	35		
7.01	23.0	47.30	1.98	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM/ML	medium dense	120	4.5	11	1.355	0.793	2.04	0.70	1.22	54.7	2.31	108.6	12	22	50	52	31		
7.16	23.5	9.60	3.73	Clay	CL/CH	stiff	120	3.1	3	1.385	0.808	4.36	0.93	1.28	11.7	3.04		3		100			0.52	3.1
7.32	24.0	6.27	2.93	Clay	CL/CH	firm	120	2.8	2	1.415	0.822	3.79	0.97	1.28	7.6	3.15		2		100			0.32	1.8
7.47	24.5	6.37	3.10	Clay	CL/CH	firm	120	2.8	2	1.445	0.837	4.02	0.97	1.26	7.6	3.17		2		100			0.33	1.8
7.62	25.0	7.43	3.79	Clay	CL/CH	firm	120	2.8	3	1.475	0.851	4.72	0.97	1.23	8.7	3.16		3		100			0.39	2.1
7.77	25.5	6.60	4.04	Clay	CL/CH	firm	120	2.7	2	1.505	0.865	5.23	0.99	1.22	7.6	3.23		2		100			0.34	1.8
7.92	26.0	9.57	4.59	Clay	CL/CH	stiff	120	2.9	3	1.535	0.880	5.46	0.96	1.19	10.8	3.13		3		100			0.51	2.7
8.08	26.5	9.90	4.23	Clay	CL/CH	stiff	120	2.9	3	1.565	0.894	5.03	0.95	1.17	11.0	3.10		3		100			0.53	2.8
8.23	27.0	7.40	4.19	Clay	CL/CH	firm	120	2.7	3	1.595	0.909	5.34	0.99	1.16	8.1	3.22		3		100			0.38	1.9
8.38	27.5	6.80	4.07	Clay	CL/CH	firm	120	2.6	3	1.625	0.923	5.34	1.00	1.15	7.4	3.25		3		100			0.35	1.7
8.53	28.0	8.93	3.81	Clay	CL/CH	firm	120	2.9	3	1.655	0.937	4.67	0.96	1.12	9.5	3.13		3		100			0.47	2.3
8.69	28.5	11.33	4.69	Clay	CL/CH	stiff	120	2.9	4	1.685	0.952	5.51	0.95	1.11	11.8	3.10		4		100			0.61	3.0
8.84	29.0	38.63	1.18	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM/ML	loose	120	4.5	9	1.715	0.966	1.23	0.70	1.07	38.9	2.29	74.8	9	15	50	38	30		
8.99	29.5	13.83	4.40	Clay	CL/CH	stiff	120	3.1	4	1.745	0.981	5.04	0.92	1.07	14.0	3.02		4		100			0.76	3.7
9.14	30.0	12.00	3.24	Silty Clay to Clay	CL	stiff	120	3.1	4	1.775	0.995	3.81	0.91	1.06	12.0	2.99		4		100			0.65	3.1
9.30	30.5	10.50	3.06	Silty Clay to Clay	CL	stiff	120	3.1	3	1.805	1.009	3.69	0.93	1.04	10.4	3.04		3		100			0.56	2.6
9.45	31.0	28.90	2.66	Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	ML	very stiff	120	3.9	7	1.835	1.024	2.84	0.80	1.03	28.0	2.63		7		80			1.64	7.9
9.60	31.5	126.93	1.41	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.2	25	1.865	1.038	1.43	0.59	1.01	121.3	1.95	150.9	24	30	30	85	34		
9.75	32.0	144.37	1.46	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.2	28	1.895	1.053	1.48	0.59	1.00	136.9	1.93	166.1	27	33	25	90	35		
9.91	32.5	41.37	1.71	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM/ML	loose	120	4.3	10	1.925	1.067	1.79	0.73	0.99	38.9	2.39	88.1	9	18	55	38	30		
10.06	33.0	60.03	2.14	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM/ML	medium dense	120	4.4	14	1.955	1.081	2.21	0.71	0.98	55.9	2.33	113.9	13	23	50	53	31		
10.21	33.5	41.30	2.44	Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	ML	loose	120	4.1	10	1.985	1.096	2.56	0.76	0.97	38.0	2.50	104.3	10	21	65	37	30		
10.36	34.0	60.37	1.27	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM/ML	medium dense	120	4.7	13	2.015	1.110	1.31	0.67	0.97	55.3	2.19	90.1	12	18	40	52	31		
10.52	34.5	32.93	1.70	Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	ML	loose	120	4.1	8	2.045	1.125	1.81	0.76	0.95	29.7	2.48	79.9	8	16	65	26	29		
10.67	35.0	20.97	2.11	Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	ML	very stiff	120	3.7	6	2.075	1.139	2.34	0.83	0.94	18.6	2.71		6		90			1.17	5.0
10.82	35.5	11.87	2.57	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	ML/CL	stiff	120	3.1	4	2.105	1.153	3.13	0.92	0.92	10.4	2.99		4		100			0.63	2.5
10.97	36.0	19.73	2.48	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	ML/CL	very stiff	120	3.5	6	2.135	1.168	2.78	0.85	0.92	17.1	2.79		6		95			1.09	4.5
11.13	36.5	86.03	1.20	Sand to Silty Sand	SP/SM	medium dense	120	5.0	17	2.165	1.182	1.23	0.63	0.93	75.8	2.06	105.2	16	21	35	65	32		
11.28	37.0	56.07	1.48	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM/ML	medium dense	120	4.6	12	2.195	1.197	1.54	0.69	0.92	48.7	2.27	90.5	11	18	50	47	30		
11.43	37.5	48.50	1.49	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM/ML	loose	120	4.4	11	2.225	1.211	1.56	0.71	0.91	41.7	2.33	85.1	10	17	55	40	30		
11.58	38.0	47.77	1.93	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM/ML	medium dense	120	4.3	11	2.255	1.225	2.03	0.73	0.90	40.5	2.41	95.1	10	19	60	39	30		

Earth Systems
Southern California

CONE PENETROMETER INTERPRETATION (based on Robertson & Campanella, 1989)

Project: Hueneme High School Northwest Solar Array Project No: VT24513-01 Date: 05/03/12

CPT SOUNDING: CPT-2 Plot: 1 Density: 1 SPT N Program developed 2003 by Shelton L. Stringer, GE, Earth Systems Southwest

Est. GWT (feet): 5.0 Dr correlation: 0 Baldi Qc/N: 0 Jefferies & Davies Phi Correlation: 4 SPT N

Base Depth meters	Base Depth feet	Avg Tip Qc, tsf	Avg Friction Ratio, %	Soil Classification	USCS	Density or Consistency	Est. Density (pcf)	Qc to N	SPT N(60)	Total po tsf	p'o tsf	F	n	Cq	Norm. Qc1n	2.6 Ic	Clean Sand Qc1n	$N_{1(60)}$	Clean Sand $N_{1(60)}$	Est. % Fines	Rel. Dens. Dr (%)	Phi (deg.)	Nk: 17 Su (tsf)	OCR
11.73	38.5	14.47	3.41	Silty Clay to Clay	CL	stiff	120	3.1	5	2.285	1.240	4.04	0.92	0.86	11.8	3.01		5		100			0.78	2.9
11.89	39.0	25.13	1.69	Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	ML	very stiff	120	3.9	6	2.315	1.254	1.86	0.80	0.87	20.7	2.62		6		80			1.40	5.5
12.04	39.5	51.50	1.62	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM/ML	medium dense	120	4.4	12	2.345	1.269	1.70	0.71	0.88	42.8	2.34	89.4	10	18	55	42	30		
12.19	40.0	47.67	1.43	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM/ML	loose	120	4.4	11	2.375	1.283	1.50	0.71	0.87	39.3	2.34	81.7	10	16	55	38	30		
12.34	40.5	13.90	2.78	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	ML/CL	stiff	120	3.1	4	2.405	1.297	3.36	0.92	0.83	10.9	2.99		4		100			0.74	2.7
12.50	41.0	10.87	2.55	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	ML/CL	stiff	120	3.0	4	2.435	1.312	3.28	0.95	0.82	8.4	3.08		4		100			0.56	1.9
12.65	41.5	13.10	2.57	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	ML/CL	stiff	120	3.1	4	2.465	1.326	3.17	0.92	0.81	10.1	3.01		4		100			0.69	2.4
12.80	42.0	14.00	2.87	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	ML/CL	stiff	120	3.1	5	2.495	1.341	3.50	0.92	0.80	10.6	3.01		5		100			0.74	2.6
12.95	42.5	14.43	3.24	Silty Clay to Clay	CL	stiff	120	3.1	5	2.525	1.355	3.92	0.93	0.79	10.8	3.04		5		100			0.77	2.6
13.11	43.0	14.27	2.12	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	ML/CL	stiff	120	3.3	4	2.555	1.369	2.58	0.90	0.79	10.7	2.94		4		100			0.76	2.6
13.26	43.5	22.57	2.22	Sandy Silt to Clayey Silt	ML	very stiff	120	3.6	6	2.585	1.384	2.51	0.84	0.80	17.0	2.76		6		95			1.25	4.3
13.41	44.0	11.53	3.03	Silty Clay to Clay	CL	stiff	120	2.9	4	2.615	1.398	3.92	0.96	0.77	8.3	3.13		4		100			0.60	1.9
13.56	44.5	9.13	2.08	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	ML/CL	firm	120	2.8	3	2.645	1.413	2.93	0.97	0.76	6.5	3.15		3		100			0.45	1.4
13.72	45.0	11.80	2.12	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	ML/CL	stiff	120	3.1	4	2.675	1.427	2.74	0.93	0.76	8.4	3.04		4		100			0.61	1.9
13.87	45.5	11.00	1.99	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	ML/CL	stiff	120	3.0	4	2.705	1.441	2.64	0.94	0.75	7.8	3.06		4		100			0.56	1.7
14.02	46.0	24.57	2.89	Clayey Silt to Silty Clay	ML/CL	very stiff	120	3.5	7	2.735	1.456	3.25	0.86	0.76	17.6	2.82		7		100			1.36	4.5
14.17	46.5	69.10	1.25	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	SM/ML	medium dense	120	4.7	15	2.765	1.470	1.30	0.67	0.80	52.4	2.20	87.4	12	17	45	50	31		
14.33	47.0	133.58	0.95	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.3	25	2.795	1.485	0.97	0.58	0.82	103.9	1.89	122.5	21	25	25	78	33		
14.48	47.5	156.07	0.83	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.5	28	2.825	1.499	0.84	0.55	0.83	121.8	1.80	134.6	23	27	20	85	34		
14.63	48.0	154.37	0.99	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.4	29	2.855	1.513	1.01	0.57	0.82	119.1	1.86	136.9	23	27	25	84	34		
14.78	48.5	164.87	1.01	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.4	31	2.885	1.528	1.03	0.56	0.81	126.8	1.84	144.1	25	29	20	87	35		
14.94	49.0	193.60	1.22	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.4	36	2.915	1.542	1.24	0.56	0.81	148.0	1.85	169.1	29	34	20	93	36		
15.09	49.5	194.47	1.29	Sand	SP	medium dense	120	5.3	36	2.945	1.557	1.31	0.57	0.80	147.5	1.87	171.0	29	34	25	93	36		

BORING LOG SYMBOLS

Modified California Split Barrel Sampler

Modified California Split Barrel Sampler - No Recovery

Standard Penetration Test (SPT) Sampler

Standard Penetration Test (SPT) Sampler - No Recovery

Perched Water Level

Water Level First Encountered

Water Level After Drilling

Pocket Penetrometer (tsf)

Vane Shear (ksf)

1. The location of borings were approximately determined by pacing and/or siting from visible features. Elevations of borings are approximately determined by interpolating between plan contours. The location and elevation of the borings should be considered.

2. The stratification lines represent the approximate boundary between soil types and the transition may be gradual.

3. Water level readings have been made in the drill holes at times and under conditions stated on the boring logs. This data has been reviewed and interpretations made in the text of this report. However, it must be noted that fluctuations in the level of the groundwater may occur due to variations in rainfall, tides, temperature, and other factors at the time measurements were made.

BORING LOG SYMBOLS

Earth Systems

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

MAJOR DIVISIONS			*GRAPH SYMBOL*	*LETTER SYMBOL*	*TYPICAL DESCRIPTIONS*
COARSE GRAINED SOILS	GRAVEL AND GRAVELLY SOILS	CLEAN GRAVELS (LITTLE OR NO FINES)		GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
				GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION <u>RETAINED</u> ON NO. 4 SIEVE	GRAVELS WITH FINES (APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-SILT MIXTURES
				GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-CLAY MIXTURES
	SAND AND SANDY SOILS	CLEAN SAND (LITTLE OR NO FINES)		SW	WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES
				SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS <u>LARGER</u> THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION <u>PASSING</u> NO. 4 SIEVE	SANDS WITH FINES (APPRECIABLE AMOUNTOF FINES)		SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND-SILT MIXTURES
				SC	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND-CLAY MIXTURES
FINE GRAINED SOILS	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT <u>LESS</u> THAN 50		ML	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY
				CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS
				OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY
	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT <u>GREATER</u> THAN 50		MH	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILTY SOILS
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS <u>SMALLER</u> THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE				CH	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT CLAYS
				OH	ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS
HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS				PT	PEAT, HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENT

NOTE: DUAL SYMBOLS ARE USED TO INDICATE BORDERLINE SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

Earth Systems

APPENDIX B

Laboratory Testing
Tabulated Laboratory Test Results
Individual Laboratory Test Results

LABORATORY TESTING

A. Samples were reviewed along with field logs to determine which would be analyzed further. Those chosen for laboratory analyses were considered representative of soils that would be exposed and/or used during grading, and those deemed to be within the influence of proposed structures. Test results are presented in graphic and tabular form in this Appendix.

B. In-situ moisture content and dry unit weight for the ring samples were determined in general accordance with ASTM D 2937.

C. A maximum density test was performed to estimate the moisture-density relationship of typical soil materials. The test was performed in accordance with ASTM D 1557.

D. The relative strength characteristics of soils were determined from the results of a direct shear test on a remolded sample. The specimen was placed in contact with water at least 24 hours before testing, and was then sheared under normal loads ranging from 1 to 3 ksf in general accordance with ASTM D 3080.

F. An expansion index test was performed on a bulk soil sample in accordance with ASTM D 4829. The sample was surcharged under 144 pounds per square foot at moisture content of near 50 percent saturation. The sample was then submerged in water for 24 hours, and the amount of expansion was recorded with a dial indicator.

GG. The gradation characteristics of certain samples were evaluated by hydrometer (in accordance with ASTM D 7928) and sieve analysis procedures. The samples were soaked in water until individual soil particles were separated, then washed on the No. 200 mesh sieve, oven dried, weighed to calculate the percent passing the No. 200 sieve, and mechanically sieved. Additionally, hydrometer analyses were performed to assess the distribution of the particles that passed the No. 200 screen. The hydrometer portions of the tests were run using sodium hexametaphosphate as a dispersing agent.

I. A portion of the bulk sample was sent to another laboratory for analyses of soil pH, resistivity, chloride contents, and sulfate contents. Soluble chloride and sulfate contents were determined on a dry weight basis. Resistivity testing was performed in accordance with California Test Method 424, wherein the ratio of soil to water was 1:3.

J. The Plasticity Indices of selected samples were evaluated in accordance with ASTM D 4318.

TABULATED LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

REMOLDED SAMPLE

BORING AND DEPTH	B-1@1'-5'
USCS	ML
MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY (pcf)	118.0
OPTIMUM MOISTURE (%)	12.5
CORRECTED MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY (pcf)	120.5*
CORRECTED OPTIMUM MOISTURE (%)	12.0*
PEAK COHESION (psf)	40.0
PEAK FRICTION ANGLE	32°
ULTIMATE COHESION (psf)	0
ULTIMATE FRICTION ANGLE	33°
EXPANSION INDEX	38
pH	7.9
RESISTIVITY (ohms-cm)	810
SOLUBLE CHLORIDES (mg/Kg)	14
SOLUBLE SULFATES (mg/Kg)	1,900

*Corrected for Oversize (ASTM D4718)

Individual Laboratory Test Results

UNIT DENSITIES AND MOISTURE CONTENT

ASTM D2937 & D2216

Job Name: Hueneme High School Relocatables

Sample Location	Depth (feet)	Unit Dry Density (pcf)	Moisture Content (%)	USCS Group Symbol
B-1	2.5	84.7	35.2	ML
B-1	5	101.3	18.8	SM
B-1	25	---	26.0	CL
B-1	40	---	33.0	CL
B-2	2.5	95.1	3.9	SM
B-2	7.5	80.2	18.4	SM

File Number: 303277-002 Lab Number: 098306

MAXIMUM DENSITY / OPTIMUM MOISTURE

ASTM D 1557-12 (Modified)

Job Name: Hueneme H.S. Relocatables
Sample ID: B 1 @ 1'-5'
Date: 10/25/2019
Description: Dark Gray Sandy Silt with Clay
SG: 2.47

Procedure Used: A
Prep. Method: Moist
Rammer Type: Automatic

Maximum Density: **118 pcf**
Optimum Moisture: **12.5%**

Sieve Size	% Retained
3/4"	0.0
3/8"	0.0
#4	8.6



File Number: 303277-002 Lab Number: 098306

MAXIMUM DENSITY / OPTIMUM MOISTURE

ASTM D 1557-12 (Modified)

Job Name: Hueneme H.S. Relocatables
Sample ID: B 1 @ 1'-5'
Date: 10/25/2019
Description: Dark Gray Sandy Silt with Clay
SG: 2.50

Procedure Used: A
Prep. Method: Moist
Rammer Type: Automatic

Maximum Density: **120.5 pcf**
Optimum Moisture: **12%**
Corrected for Oversize (ASTM D4718)

Sieve Size	% Retained
3/4"	0.0
3/8"	0.0
#4	8.6



File No.: 303277-002

EXPANSION INDEX

ASTM D-4829, UBC 18-2

Job Name: Hueneme High School Relocatables
Sample ID: B-1 @ 1'-5'
Soil Description: ML

Initial Moisture, %:	9.9
Initial Compacted Dry Density, pcf:	111.1
Initial Saturation, %:	52
Final Moisture, %:	21.2
Volumetric Swell, %:	3.8

Expansion Index: 38 **Low**

EI	UBC Classification
0-20	Very Low
21-50	Low
51-90	Medium
91-130	High
130+	Very High





DIRECT SHEAR DATA*

Sample Location: B-1 @ 1'-5'
Sample Description: Sandy Silt
Dry Density (pcf): 105.0
Intial % Moisture: 12.6
Average Degree of Saturation: 100.0
Shear Rate (in/min): 0.005 in/min

Normal stress (psf)	1000	2000	3000
Peak stress (psf)	648	1320	1896
Ultimate stress (psf)	600	1296	1896

	Peak	Ultimate
ϕ Angle of Friction (degrees):	32	33
c Cohesive Strength (psf):	40	0

Test Type: Peak & Ultimate

* Test Method: ASTM D-3080

DIRECT SHEAR TEST

Hueneme High School Relocatables

Earth Systems

12/12/2019 | 303277-002

File No.: 303277-022 November 27, 2019

PLASTICITY INDEX

ASTM D-4318

Job Name: Hueneme High School Relocatables
Sample ID: B-1 @ 25'
Soil Description: CL

DATA SUMMARY

Number of Blows:	14	21	28
Water Content, %	37.8	35.8	34.2
Plastic Limit:	20.7	20.8	

TEST RESULTS

LIQUID LIMIT	**35**
PLASTIC LIMIT	**21**
PLASTICITY INDEX	**14**





PLASTICITY INDEX

ASTM D-4318

Job Name: Hueneme High School Relocatables
Sample ID: B-1 @ 40'
Soil Description: CL

DATA SUMMARY

Number of Blows:	14	24	25
Water Content, %	38.4	35.7	35.4
Plastic Limit:	22.6	22.7	

TEST RESULTS

LIQUID LIMIT	**35**
PLASTIC LIMIT	**23**
PLASTICITY INDEX	**12**





SIEVE ANALYSIS

ASTM C-136

Job Name: 303277-002
Sample ID: B-1 @ 40'
Description: CL

Sieve Size	% Passing
3"	100
2"	100
1-1/2"	100
1"	100
3/4"	100
1/2"	100
3/8"	100
#4	100
#8	100
#16	100
#30	100
#50	100
#100	100
#200	74



APPENDIX C

Table 18-I-D Minimum Foundation Design Table

TABLE 18-I-D

MINIMUM FOUNDATION REQUIREMENTS

(Numbers within parenthesis ( ) are footnotes. Refer to the following pages footnotes (1) through (8)

WEIGHTED EXPANSION INDEX	FOUNDATIONS FOR SLAB AND RAISED FLOOR SYSTEM (4) (5): NUMBER OF FLOORS	STEM THICKNESS (INCHES)	FOOTING WIDTH (INCHES)	FOOTING THICKNESS (INCHES)	ALL PERIMETER FOOTINGS (5) — DEPTH BELOW NATURAL SURFACE OF GROUND AND FINISH GRADE (3) (8) (INCHES)	INTERIOR FOOTINGS FOR SLAB AND RAISED FLOORS (5) — DEPTH BELOW NATURAL SURFACE OF GROUND AND FINISH GRADE (3) (8) (INCHES)	REINFORCEMENT FOR CONTINUOUS FOUNDATIONS (2)	CONCRETE SLABS 3 ½ " MINIMUM THICKNESS: REINFORCEMENT (3)	CONCRETE SLABS 3 ½ " MINIMUM THICKNESS: TOTAL THICKNESS OF SAND	PREMOISTENING OF SOILS UNDER FOOTINGS, PIERS AND SLABS (1)	RESTRICTIONS ON PIERS UNDER RAISED FLOORS (A design by a registered structural engineer may be excepted when approved by the Building Official)
0-20 Very low (nonexpansive)	1 2 3	8 8 10	12 15 18	8 7 8	12 18 24	12 18 24	1-#4 top and bottom	6x6-10/10 WWF	2"	Moistening of ground recommended prior to placing concrete.	Piers allowed for single floor loads only
21-50 Low	1 2 3	8 8 10	12 15 18	6 7 8	15 18 24	12 18 24	1-#4 top and bottom	6x6-10/10 WWF	4"	120% of optimum moisture required to a depth of 21" below lowest adjacent grade. Testing required.	Piers allowed for single floor loads only.
51-90 Medium	1 2 3	8 8 10	12 15 18	8 8 8	21 21 24	12 18 24	1-#4 top and bottom	6x6-10/10 WWF	4"	130% of optimum moisture required to a depth of 27" below lowest adjacent grade. Testing required.	Piers not allowed.
							#3 BARS @ 24" IN EXT. FOOTING BEND3' INTO SLAB (7)				
91-130 High	1 2 3	8 8 10	12 15 18	8 8 8	27 27 24	12 18 24	1-#5 top and bottom	6x6-10/10 or #3 @ 24' E.W.	4"	140% of optimum moisture required of a depth of 33" below lowest adjacent grade. Testing required	Piers not allowed.
							#3 BARS @ 24" IN EXT. FOOTING BEND 3' INTO SLAB (7)				
Above 130 Very High	Special design by licensed engineer/architect										

APPENDIX D

Site Classification Calculation

2016 CBC & ASCE 7-10 Seismic Parameters

OSHPD Seismic Design Maps

Spectral Response Values Table

Spectral Response Curves

Fault Parameters

EARTH SYSTEMS

Job Number:	303277-002
Job Name:	Hueneme H.S. Relocatable Classrooms
Calc Date:	12/11/2019
CPT/Boring ID:	B-1

Use "SPT N_{60}" if correlated from CPT.
Use "Raw SPT blow/ft" if from SPT/ModCal.
Input Number Max Limit = 100.

Depth (ft)	SPT N	Sublayer Thick (ft)	Sublayer Thick/N
5.0	16.0	5.0	0.313
10.0	20.0	5.0	0.250
15.0	17.0	5.0	0.294
20.0	18.0	5.0	0.278
25.0	12.0	5.0	0.417
30.0	10.0	5.0	0.500
35.0	16.0	5.0	0.313
40.0	14.0	5.0	0.357
45.0	8.0	5.0	0.625
50.0	6.0	5.0	0.833
51.5	17.0	1.5	0.088
75.0	22.0	23.5	1.068
100.0	30.0	25.0	0.833

Total Thickness of Soil =	100.00	ft
N-bar Value =	16.2	*
Site Classification =	Class D	

*Equation 20.4-2 of ASCE 7-10

2016 California Building Code (CBC) (ASCE 7-10) Seismic Design Parameters

			CBC Reference	ASCE 7-10 Reference
Seismic Design Category		**E**	Table 1613.5.6	Table 11.6-2
Site Class		**D**	Table 1613.5.2	Table 20.3-1
Latitude:		34.160 N		
Longitude:		-119.181 W		
Maximum Considered Earthquake (MCE) Ground Motion				
Short Period Spectral Reponse	S_S	**2.264 g**	Figure 1613.5	Figure 22-3
1 second Spectral Response	S_1	**0.804 g**	Figure 1613.5	Figure 22.4
Site Coefficient	F_a	1.00	Table 1613.5.3(1)	Table 11.4-1
Site Coefficient	F_v	1.50	Table 1613.5.3(2)	Table 11-4.2
	S_{MS}	2.264 g	$= F_a * S_S$	
	S_{M1}	1.206 g	$= F_v * S_1$	
Design Earthquake Ground Motion				
Short Period Spectral Reponse	S_{DS}	**1.509 g**	$= 2/3 * S_{MS}$	
1 second Spectral Response	S_{D1}	**0.804 g**	$= 2/3 * S_{M1}$	
	To	0.11 sec	$= 0.2 * S_{D1}/S_{DS}$	
	Ts	0.53 sec	$= S_{D1}/S_{DS}$	
Seismic Importance Factor	I	1.25	Table 1604.5	Table 11.5-1
	F_{PGA}	1.00		



Period T (sec)	Design Sa (g)
0.00	0.755
0.05	1.286
0.11	1.887
0.53	1.887
0.70	1.436
0.90	1.117
1.10	0.914
1.30	0.773
1.50	0.670
1.70	0.591
1.90	0.529
2.10	0.479
2.30	0.437
2.50	0.402
2.70	0.372
2.90	0.347

OSHPD

Latitude, Longitude: 34.1595, -119.1809



Date	12/12/2019, 8:21:31 AM
Design Code Reference Document	ASCE7-10
Risk Category	III
Site Class	D - Stiff Soil

Type	Value	Description
S_S	2.264	MCE_R ground motion. (for 0.2 second period)
S_1	0.804	MCE_R ground motion. (for 1.0s period)
S_{MS}	2.264	Site-modified spectral acceleration value
S_{M1}	1.205	Site-modified spectral acceleration value
S_{DS}	1.509	Numeric seismic design value at 0.2 second SA
S_{D1}	0.804	Numeric seismic design value at 1.0 second SA

Type	Value	Description
SDC	E	Seismic design category
F_a	1	Site amplification factor at 0.2 second
F_v	1.5	Site amplification factor at 1.0 second
PGA	0.849	MCE_G peak ground acceleration
F_{PGA}	1	Site amplification factor at PGA
PGA_M	0.849	Site modified peak ground acceleration
T_L	8	Long-period transition period in seconds
SsRT	2.264	Probabilistic risk-targeted ground motion. (0.2 second)
SsUH	2.431	Factored uniform-hazard (2% probability of exceedance in 50 years) spectral acceleration
SsD	2.406	Factored deterministic acceleration value. (0.2 second)
S1RT	0.804	Probabilistic risk-targeted ground motion. (1.0 second)
S1UH	0.854	Factored uniform-hazard (2% probability of exceedance in 50 years) spectral acceleration.
S1D	0.817	Factored deterministic acceleration value. (1.0 second)
PGAd	0.89	Factored deterministic acceleration value. (Peak Ground Acceleration)
C_{RS}	0.931	Mapped value of the risk coefficient at short periods
C_{R1}	0.942	Mapped value of the risk coefficient at a period of 1 s

DISCLAIMER

While the information presented on this website is believed to be correct, SEAOC /OSHPD and its sponsors and contributors assume no responsibility or liability for its accuracy. The material presented in this web application should not be used or relied upon for any specific application without competent examination and verification of its accuracy, suitability and applicability by engineers or other licensed professionals. SEAOC / OSHPD do not intend that the use of this information replace the sound judgment of such competent professionals, having experience and knowledge in the field of practice, nor to substitute for the standard of care required of such professionals in interpreting and applying the results of the seismic data provided by this website. Users of the information from this website assume all liability arising from such use. Use of the output of this website does not imply approval by the governing building code bodies responsible for building code approval and interpretation for the building site described by latitude/longitude location in the search results of this webstie.

Spectral Response Values

Probabilistic and Deterministic Response Spectra for MCE compared to Code Spectra

for 5% Viscous Damping Ratio

Natural Period T (seconds)	GeoMean Probab. 2% in 50 yr MCE Spectrum	Max Rotated Probab. 2% in 50 yr MCEr	Max 84th Percentile Determ. MCE Spectrum	Determ. Lower Limit MCE Spectrum	Determ. MCE Spectrum	**Site Specific MCE Spectrum**	**2016 CBC MCE Spectrum**	Site Specific Design Spectrum	2016 CBC Design Spectrum
	(1) 2475-yr	(2) 2475-yr	(3)	(4)	(5) max(3,4)	(6) min(2.5)	(7)	(8) 2/3*(6)*	(9) 2/3*(7)
0.00	0.817	0.837	0.871	0.600	0.871	**0.837**	**0.906**	0.558	0.604
0.05	1.089	1.116	1.019	0.975	1.019	**1.019**	**1.543**	0.823	1.029
0.10	1.362	1.395	1.365	1.350	1.365	**1.365**	**2.181**	1.163	1.454
0.15	1.555	1.592	1.638	1.500	1.638	**1.592**	**2.264**	1.207	1.509
0.20	1.748	1.790	1.775	1.500	1.775	**1.775**	**2.264**	1.207	1.509
0.30	1.824	1.871	1.888	1.500	1.888	**1.871**	**2.264**	1.247	1.509
0.40	1.757	1.886	1.924	1.500	1.924	**1.886**	**2.264**	1.257	1.509
0.50	1.689	1.895	1.946	1.500	1.946	**1.895**	**2.264**	1.264	1.509
0.75	1.433	1.681	1.814	1.200	1.814	**1.681**	**1.608**	1.120	1.072
1.00	1.176	1.440	1.561	0.900	1.561	**1.440**	**1.206**	0.960	0.804
1.50	0.883	1.081	1.199	0.600	1.199	**1.081**	**0.804**	0.721	0.536
2.00	0.590	0.723	0.949	0.450	0.949	**0.723**	**0.603**	0.482	0.402

Crs: 0.931 * > 80% of (9)

Cr1: 0.942

Probabilistic Spectrum from 2008 USGS Ground Motion Mapping Program adjusted for site conditions and maximum rotated component of ground motion using NGA, Column 2 has risk coefficients Cr applied.

Reference: ASCE 7-10, Chapters 21.2, 21.3, 21.4 and 11.4

Mapped MCE Acceleration Values			Site Coefficients		**Site-Specific Design Acceleration Values**		
PGA	0.849	g	F_{PGA}	1.00	**PGA_M**	**0.849**	**g**
Ss	2.264	g	F_a	1.00	**S_{DS}**	**1.207**	**g**
S_1	0.804	g	F_v	1.50	**S_{D1}**	**0.963**	**g**

Spectral Amplification Factor for different viscous damping, D (%):

0.5%	2%	10%	20%
1.50	1.23	0.83	0.67

1 g = 980.6 cm/sec^2 =32.2 ft/sec^2

PSV (ft/sec) = 32.2(Sa)T/(2π)

Key: Probab. = Probabilistic, Determ. = Deterministic, MCE = Maximum Considered Earthquake

RESPONSE SPECTRA



Based on USGS National Strong Ground Motion Interactive Deaggregation Website using 2008 Parameters

Site Class: D
Latitude: 34.1595
Longitude: -119.1809

Spectral Response Curves

Hueneme High School Relocatables
File No.: 303277-002

Earth Systems

Table 1
Fault Parameters

Fault Section Name	**Distance** (miles)	(km)	Avg Dip Angle (deg.)	Avg Dip Direction (deg.)	Avg Rake (deg.)	Trace Length (km)	Fault Type	**Mean Mag**	Mean Return Interval (years)	Slip Rate (mm/yr)
Oak Ridge (Onshore)	2.0	3.2	65	159	90	49	B	**7.4**		4
Simi-Santa Rosa	6.1	9.8	60	346	30	39	B	**6.8**		1
Malibu Coast (Extension), alt 1	6.4	10.3	74	4	30	35	B'	**6.5**		
Malibu Coast (Extension), alt 2	6.4	10.3	74	4	30	35	B'	**6.9**		
Oak Ridge (Offshore)	8.2	13.3	32	180	90	38	B	**6.9**		3
Ventura-Pitas Point	9.4	15.1	64	353	60	44	B	**6.9**		1
Channel Islands Thrust	10.4	16.7	20	354	90	59	B	**7.3**		1.5
Anacapa-Dume, alt 1	12.9	20.8	45	354	60	51	B	**7.2**		3
Anacapa-Dume, alt 2	12.9	20.8	41	352	60	65	B	**7.2**		3
Santa Cruz Island	13.0	20.9	90	188	30	69	B	**7.1**		1
Red Mountain	14.2	22.9	56	2	90	101	B	**7.4**		2
Channel Islands Western Deep Ramp	14.3	23.1	21	204	90	62	B'	**7.3**		
Malibu Coast, alt 1	16.2	26.0	75	3	30	38	B	**6.6**		0.3
Malibu Coast, alt 2	16.2	26.0	74	3	30	38	B	**6.9**		0.3
Pitas Point (Lower)-Montalvo	17.0	27.3	16	359	90	30	B	**7.3**		2.5
Sisar	17.4	28.0	29	168	na	20	B'	**7.0**		
North Channel	17.8	28.6	26	10	90	51	B	**6.7**		1
Shelf (Projection)	17.9	28.9	17	21	na	70	B'	**7.8**		
San Cayetano	19.4	31.2	42	3	90	42	B	**7.2**		6
Mission Ridge-Arroyo Parida-Santa Ana	19.6	31.6	70	176	90	69	B	**6.8**		0.4
Santa Cruz Catalina Ridge	21.0	33.9	90	38	na	137	B'	**7.3**		
Santa Monica Bay	24.9	40.0	20	44	na	17	B'	**7.0**		
Pitas Point (Upper)	25.1	40.3	42	15	90	35	B	**6.8**		1
Santa Ynez (East)	25.3	40.6	70	172	0	68	B	**7.2**		2
San Pedro Basin	26.6	42.9	88	51	na	69	B'	**7.0**		
Santa Susana, alt 1	27.3	44.0	55	9	90	27	B	**6.8**		5
Santa Susana, alt 2	27.6	44.4	53	10	90	43	B'	**6.8**		
Northridge Hills	28.8	46.4	31	19	90	25	B'	**7.0**		
Pine Mtn	29.0	46.6	45	5	na	62	B'	**7.3**		
Oak Ridge (Offshore), west extension	29.0	46.7	67	195	na	28	B'	**6.1**		
Del Valle	30.7	49.4	73	195	90	9	B'	**6.3**		
Holser, alt 1	31.1	50.0	58	187	90	20	B	**6.7**		0.4
Holser, alt 2	31.1	50.0	58	182	90	17	B'	**6.7**		
Northridge	32.1	51.7	35	201	90	33	B	**6.8**		1.5
Compton	33.6	54.1	20	34	90	65	B'	**7.5**		
San Pedro Escarpment	34.2	55.0	17	38	na	27	B'	**7.3**		
Pitas Point (Lower, West)	34.4	55.3	13	3	90	35	B	**7.2**		2.5
Santa Ynez (West)	35.0	56.3	70	182	0	63	B	**6.9**		2
Big Pine (Central)	36.6	58.8	76	167	na	23	B'	**6.3**		
Santa Monica, alt 1	36.9	59.4	75	343	30	14	B	**6.5**		1

Reference: USGS OFR 2007-1437 (CGS SP 203)

Based on Site Coordinates of 34.1595 Latitude, -119.1809 Longitude

Mean Magnitude for Type A Faults based on 0.1 weight for unsegmented section, 0.9 weight for segmented model (weighted by probability of each scenario with section listed as given on Table 3 of Appendix G in OFR 2007-1437). Mean magntude is average of Ellworths-B and Hanks & Bakun moment area relationship.

APPENDIX E

Liquefaction and Dry Sand Seismic Settlement Analyses
Lateral Spreading Analyses Results

LIQUEFY-v 2.3.XLS - A SPREADSHEET FOR EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF LIQUEFACTION POTENTIAL AND INDUCED GROUND SUBSIDENCE

Developed 2006 by Shelton L. Stringer, PE, GE, PG - Earth Systems Southwest

Project: Hueneme H.S. Relocatables
Job No: 303277-002
Date: 12/12/2019
Boring: B-1 Data Set: 1

Methods: Liquefaction Analysis using 1996 & 1998 NCEER workshop method (Youd & Idriss, editors)
Journal of Geotechnical and Enviromental Engineering (JGEE), October 2001, Vol 127, No. 10, ASCE
Settlement Analysis from Tokimatsu and Seed (1987), JGEE, Vol 113, No.8, ASCE
Modified by Pradel, JGEE, Vol 124, No. 4, ASCE

EARTHQUAKE INFORMATION:

Magnitude: 7.4 7.5
PGA, g: 0.85 0.82
MSF: 1.03
GWT: 7.0 feet
Calc GWT: 7.0 feet
Remediate to: 0.0 feet

SPT N VALUE CORRECTIONS:

Energy Correction to N60 (C_E): 1.33 Automatic Hammer
Drive Rod Corr. (C_R): 1 Default
Rod Length above ground (feet): 3.0
Borehole Dia. Corr. (C_B): 1.00
Sampler Liner Correction for SPT?: 1 Yes
Cal Mod/ SPT Ratio: 0.63

Threshold Acceler., g: 0.19

Total (ft) Liquefied Thickness
11

Total (in.) Induced Subsidence
2.1

Required SF: 1.30
Minimum Calculated SF: 0.22

Base Depth (feet)	Cal Mod N	SPT N	Liquef. Suscept. (0 or 1)	Total Unit Wt. (pcf)	Fines Content (%)	Depth of SPT (feet)	Rod Length (feet)	Tot.Stress at SPT po (tsf)	Eff.Stress at SPT p'o (tsf)	rd	C_N	C_R	C_S	$N_{1(60)}$	Rel. Dens. Dr (%	Trigger FC Adj. $\Delta N_{1(60)}$	Equiv. Sand $N_{1(60)CS}$	$K\sigma$	M = 7.5 Available CRR	M =7.5 Induced CSR*	Liquefac. Safety Factor	Post FC Adj. $\Delta N_{1(60)}$	$N_{1(60)CS}$	Volumetric Strain (%)	Induced Subsidence (in.)
0.0				0				0.000																	
5.0	16	10	1	120	65	4.0	7.0	0.240	0.240	0.99	1.70	0.75	1.00	17.1	49	8.4	25.6	1.00	0.292	0.529	Non-Liq.	8.4	25.6	0.09	0.05
7.0	26	16	1	120	15	6.5	9.5	0.390	0.390	0.99	1.65	0.75	1.00	27.0	62	3.8	30.8	1.00	1.400	0.526	Non-Liq.	3.8	30.8	0.07	0.02
10.0	0	14	1	120	15	9.0	12.0	0.540	0.478	0.98	1.49	0.75	1.25	26.0	61	3.8	29.8	1.00	0.426	0.592	0.72	1.3	27.4	1.05	0.38
12.0	0	16	1	120	15	11.5	14.5	0.690	0.550	0.98	1.39	0.80	1.28	30.2	66	4.0	34.1	1.00	1.400	0.654	2.14	4.0	34.1	0.00	0.00
15.0	0	19	1	120	15	14.0	17.0	0.840	0.622	0.97	1.30	0.85	1.30	36.4	72	4.2	40.6	1.00	1.400	0.700	2.00	4.2	40.6	0.00	0.00
17.0	0	22	1	120	15	16.5	19.5	0.990	0.694	0.97	1.24	0.89	1.30	41.8	77	4.5	46.3	1.00	1.400	0.735	1.91	4.5	46.3	0.00	0.00
20.0	0	15	1	120	15	19.0	22.0	1.140	0.766	0.96	1.18	0.92	1.26	27.3	62	3.8	31.1	1.00	1.400	0.762	1.84	3.8	31.1	0.00	0.00
22.0	0	17	1	120	15	21.5	24.5	1.290	0.838	0.95	1.12	0.95	1.29	31.2	67	4.0	35.2	1.00	1.400	0.783	1.79	4.0	35.2	0.00	0.00
25.0	0	6	0	120	65	24.0	27.0	1.440	0.910	0.95	1.00	0.97	1.10	8.6				1.00	Infin.	0.798	Non-Liq.		8.6	0.00	0.00
27.0	0	10	0	120	15	26.5	29.5	1.590	0.982	0.94	1.00	1.00	1.16	15.4				1.00	Infin.	0.809	Non-Liq.		15.4	0.00	0.00
30.0	0	10	1	120	15	29.0	32.0	1.740	1.054	0.93	1.00	1.00	1.16	15.5	47	3.2	18.7	1.00	0.202	0.815	0.25	1.3	16.8	1.82	0.65
32.0	0	18	1	120	15	31.5	34.5	1.890	1.126	0.91	0.97	1.00	1.28	29.8	65	3.9	33.7	0.98	1.400	0.833	1.68	3.9	33.7	0.00	0.00
35.0	0	14	1	120	15	34.0	37.0	2.040	1.198	0.90	0.94	1.00	1.21	21.2	55	3.5	24.8	0.96	0.279	0.846	0.33	1.3	22.6	1.41	0.51
37.0	0	10	1	120	15	36.5	39.5	2.190	1.270	0.88	0.91	1.00	1.15	13.9	45	3.2	17.1	0.96	0.185	0.839	0.22	1.3	15.3	1.93	0.46
40.0	0	29	1	120	15	39.0	42.0	2.340	1.342	0.86	0.89	1.00	1.30	44.6	80	4.6	49.3	0.91	1.400	0.880	1.59	4.6	49.3	0.00	0.00
42.0	0	10	0	120	65	41.5	44.5	2.490	1.414	0.84	1.00	1.00	1.16	15.5				0.94	Infin.	0.834	Non-Liq.		15.5	0.00	0.00
45.0	0	6	0	120	65	44.0	47.0	2.640	1.486	0.81	1.00	1.00	1.10	8.8				0.93	Infin.	0.825	Non-Liq.		8.8	0.00	0.00
47.0		7	0	120	65	46.5	49.5	2.790	1.558	0.79	1.00	1.00	1.11	10.4				0.93	Infin.	0.814	Non-Liq.		10.4	0.00	0.00
50.0		6	0	120	65	49.0	52.0	2.940	1.630	0.76	1.00	1.00	1.10	8.8				0.92	Infin.	0.800	Non-Liq.		8.8	0.00	0.00

SETTLEMENT (SUBSIDENCE) OF DRY SANDS

Nc = 14.2

p (tsf)	G_{max} (tsf)	τ_{av} (tsf)	tav/Gmax	a	b	Shear Strain γ	Strain E_{15}	Strain Enc	Dry Sand Subsidence (in.)
0.161	528	0.088	0.0002	0.130	19,161	6.1E-04	4.5E-04	4 4E-04	0.05
0.261	716	0.142	0.0002	0.134	14,318	5.7E-04	3.4E-04	3 3E-04	0.02
0.362	834	0.195	0.0002	0.138	11,779	6.5E-04			
0.462	986	0.248	0.0003	0.142	10,168	6.2E-04			
0.563	1,153	0.300	0.0003	0.146	9,036	5.7E-04			
0.663	1,307	0.352	0.0003	0.150	8,188	5.5E-04			
0.764	1,229	0.402	0.0003	0.154	7,523	8.0E-04			
0.864	1,362	0.452	0.0003	0.158	6,985	7.5E-04			
0.965				0.162	6,539				
1.065				0.165	6,162				
1.166	1,282	0.592	0.0005	0.169	5,837	1.4E-03			
1.266	1,625	0.635	0.0004	0.173	5,555	8.4E-04			
1.367	1,523	0.674	0.0004	0.177	5,306	1.1E-03			
1.467	1,395	0.709	0.0005	0.181	5,085	1.5E-03			
1.568	2,052	0.740	0.0004	0.185	4,887	6.3E-04			
1.668				0.189	4,708				
1.769				0.193	4,545				
1.869				0.197	4,397				
1.970				0.201	4,261				

EARTH SYSTEMS - EVALUATION OF LIQUEFACTION POTENTIAL AND INDUCED SUBSIDENCE

Hueneme H.S. Relocatables | Project No: 303277-002 | 1996/1998 NCEER Method

Boring: B-1 | Earthquake Magnitude: 7.4 | PGA, g: 0.85 | Calc GWT (feet): 7



Total Thickness of Liquefiable Layers: 11.0 feet

Estimated Total Ground Subsidence: 2.1 inches

Job Number:	303277-002
Job Name:	Hueneme HS Relocatables
Boring Number:	B-1
Date:	December 11, 2019
Calculated By:	A. Mazzei

Prediction of Liquefaction Induced Lateral Spreading with Ground Slope Conditions

Based on Data Published in the ASCE Journal of Geotechnicial and Geoenvironmental Engineering December 2002
(Youd, Hansen and Bartlett, 2002)

Variables Used in Calculation Defined

Earthquake Magnitude (M)
Horizontal Distance to Nearest Seismic Energy Source, km (R)
Percent Slope (S)
Cumulative Thickness in Meters of Saturated Cohesionless Sediments with SPT $(N1)_{60}$ Values <= 15 (T_{15})
Average Fines Content in Percent (F_{15})
Mean Grain size in milimeters ($D50_{15}$)

$$\text{Log } D_H = -16.213 + 1.532M - 1.406\text{Log}(R + 10^{(0.89M-5.64)}) - 0.012R + 0.338\text{Log}S + 0.540\text{Log}T_{15} + 3.413\text{Log}(100 - F_{15}) - 0.795\text{Log}(D50_{15} + 0.1\text{mm})$$

Requirements and Limitations Used to Develop this Model

Soils must be Liquefiable
Saturated Cohesionless Sediments with SPT $(N1)_{60}$ less than 15
Earthquake Magnitude (M) must be between 6 and 8
Percent Slope (S) must be between 0.1% and 6%
Cumulative Thickness (T15) must be between 1 and 15 meters
Depth to top of Liquefied layer must be between 1 and 10 meters
Distance to Fault Rupture (R_{eq}) must be determined using Figure 10 if soft soils are present.
F_{15} and $D50_{15}$ must be within bounds shown in Fig. 5.
If R or R_{eq} < 0.5 km use 0.5; otherwise use R or R_{eq}.

Input Values	
M = 6.99	
R = 12.11	km
S = 0.29	%
T_{15} = 0.9	m
F_{15} = 20	%
$D50_{15}$ = 0.55	mm

Horizontal Ground Displacement in meters (D_H) = 0.13
Horizontal Ground Displacement in feet (D_H) = 0.4



Fig. 9. Flow chart [for application of Eq. (6)]



Fig. 10. Graph for determining equivalent source distance, R_{eq}, from magnitude, M, and peak acceleration, a_{max} (revised from Bartlett and Youd 1992, 1995). The above curves are the averages of pga from three different attenuation relations: Abrahamson and Silva (1997); Boore et al. (1997); and Campbell (1997). For the Abrahamson and Silva (1997) relation, the following parameters were used in the regression equation: a) R equals the distance to the fault rupture, b) fault type was set to "otherwise", c) HW=hanging wall factor was set to 1, which implies that sites are found on the hanging wall, d) site classification was set to 1 for deep soil sites. For the Boore, Joyner and Fumal (1997) relation, the following parameters were used in the regression equation: a) R is the closest horizontal distance (km) to a vertical projection of fault rupture surface (km), b) Vs in the upper 30 meters was set to 270 m/s, which is the mid range for a medium stiff soil (site class D), c) fault type was set to "fault mechanism not specified." For the Campbell (1997) relation, the following parameters were used in the regression equation: a) R is the closest distance to the seismogenic rupture surface (km), b) fault style factor was set to "otherwise", c) soft rock and hard rock site factors were set to "otherwise", which implies a stiff soil site.



Fig. 5. Compiled grain-size data with ranges of F_{15} and $D50_{15}$ [for which Eq. (6) is applicable]